

CITY OF VANCOUVERREGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

A Regular meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Tuesday, December 21, 1971, in the Council Chamber, at approximately 9:30 a.m.

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor
Aldermen Adams, Bird, Broome, Calder,
Hardwick, Linnell, Phillips,
Rankin, Sweeney and Wilson

CLERK TO THE COUNCIL: D. H. Little.

PRAYER The proceedings in the Council Chamber were opened with prayer offered by the Rev. Dr. George Turpin, Civic Chaplain.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT His Worship the Mayor acknowledged the presence in the Council Chamber of students from the Prince of Wales Secondary School.

'IN CAMERA' MEETING

The Council agreed to an 'In Camera' meeting later this day.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

MOVED by Alderman Linnell,
SECONDED by Alderman Adams,

THAT the Minutes of the Regular Council meeting (including 'In Camera'), dated December 14, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Alderman Bird,
SECONDED by Alderman Wilson,

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, His Worship the Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED.

UNFINISHED BUSINESSDelegation Matters

It was agreed to defer the following matters pending the hearing of delegations later this day:

- (a) Central City Mission: Grant Request Equal to Taxes
- (b) Museums Department Reorganization

(continued)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Plate Glass Insurance

Further consideration was given to Clause 4 of the Board of Administration Report (Property Matters), dated December 10, 1971, and the special Board of Administration report dated December 17, 1971, in regard to Plate Glass Insurance.

The matter was deferred by Council on December 14, 1971, to obtain the December 17 report from the Board of Administration.

At the previous consideration, Alderman Phillips submitted a motion, which is now before the Council for further consideration, as follows:

"MOVED by Alderman Phillips,
THAT the City do not continue to carry this
class of insurance. "

Alderman Phillips amended the motion, to now read as follows:

MOVED by Alderman Phillips,
THAT the City do not continue to carry this class of insurance,
and the Director of Finance report back in regard to setting up an
insurance trust fund.

- CARRIED.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS

A. Third Crossing of Burrard Inlet

The Council agreed to consider at this time, in view of the communications on the subject, the Board of Administration report, dated December 16, 1971, respecting the Third Crossing of Burrard Inlet.

The Board of Administration report of December 16, 1971, reads as follows:

"City Council has directed the Board of Administration to submit an Information Report on the status of the Third Crossing of Burrard Inlet. Itemized below are the facts as reported to the Board of Administration and the sources of the information are shown:

1. The District of West Vancouver and the District of North Vancouver, and the City of North Vancouver and the City of Vancouver are in agreement on the general Crossing alignment and have all expressed a preference for a tunnel as the main Crossing element.

Council resolutions are available from all four municipalities.

The Federal and Provincial Governments have not expressed a preference.

(continued)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Third Crossing of Burrard Inlet (cont'd)

2. The City of North Vancouver and the District of North Vancouver Councils have passed resolutions agreeing to participate in the cost-sharing for the Crossing on the basis of the formula suggested in the report of Swan Wooster/C.B.A. dated July, 1970.

The District of West Vancouver has passed the following resolution:

"It is urged that the necessary cost-sharing negotiations between the appropriate levels of government be entered into as soon as possible to facilitate an early start on construction."

The City of Vancouver resolved to -

"advise the Provincial Government that the City will undertake to place a money by-law to the electors for the distributor functions of the approaches in the City in accordance with the Consultant's recommendations, subject, however, to such distributors being constructed where practicable at a time or times to suit the City's priorities, and subject also to the necessary agreement of all four affected municipalities to meet their share of the costs."

The Honourable Don Jamieson, on November 22, 1971, in a Press announcement, stated -

- (a) That the National Harbours Board were prepared to proceed with the Crossing;
- (b) That Mr. W. Rathie would be the co-ordinator of the project;
- (c) That agreement had been reached with the Provincial Government with respect to the \$14 million in Federal funds being paid to the Province in settlement of the Federal proportion of the cost of the Second Narrows Bridge.

The Honourable W.A.C. Bennett was reported in the Press on November 24, 1971 as concurring with the Honourable Don Jamieson in respect of the \$14 million, is quoted as stating that the \$14 million in addition to the \$27 million provided under the Burrard Inlet (Third Crossing) Fund Act would be made available for the Third Crossing without any qualifications, and the Premier has agreed that Mr. W. Rathie act as co-ordinator of the project.

3. The Swan Wooster/C.B.A. Burrard Inlet Crossing Report, Volume 3, shows a cost apportionment to the City of Vancouver for the distributor function for Stage 1 of \$12.2 million. The Consultants have confirmed that the City of Vancouver distributor function can be further staged in accordance with the resolution quoted herein and that this staging would reduce the initial cost estimates from \$12.2 million to \$3.2 million.

The City Engineer advises that this modified first stage is reasonable from a functional standpoint because the waterfront distributor primarily serves traffic to and from the east and south-east and therefore would be most effectively used when Stage 2 east of Seymour Street is built at some later date.

A drawing is attached to indicate the distributor function as originally conceived by the Consultants and to illustrate the staging to reduce the initial cost.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Third Crossing of Burrard Inlet (cont'd)

- 4. The Consultants estimate that the time required to prepare working drawings for the approaches to be at least eighteen months. Construction costs, therefore, would not likely be incurred until late 1973.
- 5. The Consultants Report, in indicating the benefits accruing to the City due to the 3.0 Alignment approaches, estimates the removal of up to 30,000 vehicles per day from the downtown core streets by use of this by-pass feature. The foregoing advantages are exclusive of any benefits when the distributor is built.

Your Board submit this report for the information of Council."

In connection with this matter, communications were received, as follows, requesting, in general, delegations be heard or a public hearing be arranged:

- Mr. Bob Douglas, President, West End Community Council;
- Mr. Brahm Wiesman;
- Mr. Harald Weinreich, President, Citizens Council on Civic Development;
- Mr. Ian Bain, Director, Public Concerns;
- Mr. Erik Karlsen, Interdisciplinary Transportation Group;
- Mr. Ken Bartesko and Mr. Jerry Jaud, U.B.C. School of Architecture;
- Mrs. Anne Fall for The North Shore Transportation Committee;
- Mr. Norman Pearson, Planning Consultant;
- Mr. J. J. Volrich, President, The Electors Action Movement;
- Mr. Terry Simpson, I.B.E.W., Local 213;
- Mr. Henry Elder, Director, Assist. Prof. Bruno B. Freschi, Asst. Prof. John Gaitanakis, Assist. Prof. W. W. Wood of U.B.C. School of Architecture;
- Mr. Guy Spencer, for Community Planning Assoc. of Canada, Greater Vancouver Branch Executive;
- Mr. Bruce Yorke, Citizen's Committee for Public Transit;
- Mr. Mackenzie G. Birrell;
- Mr. Harold O. Hall;
- Mrs. Margaret Chunn, Secretary, Committee of Progressive Electors;
- Mr. Jim Bohlen, Vice-President, The Sierra Club of B.C.

MOVED by Alderman Linnell,
THAT all interested parties be heard at a public evening meeting, to be held early in January.

- LOST.

A recorded vote was requested. The record, therefore, is as follows:

<u>FOR THE MOTION</u>	<u>AGAINST THE MOTION</u>
Alderman Hardwick	Alderman Adams
Alderman Rankin	Alderman Broome
Alderman Linnell	Alderman Sweeney
Alderman Phillips	Alderman Wilson
Alderman Calder	Alderman Bird
	His Worship the Mayor

(The motion was declared lost.)

Regular Council, December 21, 1971 5

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Third Crossing of Burrard Inlet (cont'd)

MOVED by Alderman Wilson,

THAT, WHEREAS it is reported that representatives of the Federal and Provincial Governments are prepared to commence the Third Crossing of Burrard Inlet immediately;

AND WHEREAS the Swan Wooster/C.B.A. report dated July, 1970, estimates the cost of making provision for the distributor from the Crossing in Vancouver to be \$3.2 million for the first stage;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City of Vancouver advise the Federal Government, the Provincial Government and the North Shore municipalities that Vancouver is prepared to participate in the cost-sharing for the proposed Third Crossing of Burrard Inlet on the basis of the formula suggested in the report of Swan Wooster/C.B.A. dated July, 1970, on the understanding that the City of Vancouver's contribution in the first stage will be limited to provision for the distributor function at the North end of the Thurlow tunnel, but not the construction of such distributor.

- CARRIED.

The following procedure resulted in connection with this matter: Alderman Rankin took the position that the motion by Alderman Wilson was contrary to the former motion of Council on this subject passed on February 16, 1971, and amended by Council on March 2, 1971.

His Worship the Mayor ruled that Alderman Wilson's motion was not contrary. Alderman Rankin challenged the ruling of the Chair.

The question, therefore, was put as follows:

'Shall the Chair be sustained?'

On motion, the Chair was sustained by the required majority.

The motion of Alderman Wilson was put. A recorded vote was requested and the record, therefore, is as follows:

FOR THE MOTION

His Worship the Mayor
Alderman Bird
Alderman Wilson
Alderman Sweeney
Alderman Broome
Alderman Adams

AGAINST THE MOTION

Alderman Linnell
Alderman Phillips
Alderman Calder
Alderman Hardwick
Alderman Rankin.

(The motion was declared CARRIED.)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Third Crossing of Burrard Inlet (cont'd)

Before putting Alderman Wilson's motion, it was
MOVED by Alderman Calder, in amendment,
THAT the following words be added to Alderman Wilson's
motion:

'after the City of Vancouver puts a money by-law
or plebiscite to the electorate.'

- LOST.

A recorded vote was requested and the record, therefore, is as
follows:

FOR THE MOTION

AGAINST THE MOTION

Alderman Hardwick
Alderman Rankin
Alderman Linnell
Alderman Calder
Alderman Phillips

Alderman Broome
Alderman Adams
Alderman Bird
His Worship the Mayor
Alderman Wilson
Alderman Sweeney

(The motion was declared LOST.) The motion of Alderman Wilson was
put and CARRIED.)

MOVED by Alderman Hardwick,

THAT the question of connecting the rapid transit tube to the
surface and streets of Vancouver, to accommodate bus rapid
transit, be reported on by the Board of Administration as early as
possible.

- CARRIED.

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

THAT all correspondence submitted to Council on this subject
of the Third Crossing of Burrard Inlet be received.

- CARRIED.

During this discussion, a short recess was observed.

Board of Administration
B. General Report, December 17, 1971

Works and Utility Matters

Block 42/52 - Pacific Centre Ltd. Relocation
Costs - Underground Utilities - Clause 6

MOVED by Alderman Rankin,

THAT this clause of the Board of Administration Report (Works
and Utility Matters), dated December 17, 1971, be not approved.

- LOST

(continued)

Regular Council, December 21, 1971 7

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

General Report, December 17, 1971 (cont'd)

Works and Utility Matters (cont'd)

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

THAT the report of the Board of Administration (Works and Utility Matters), dated December 17, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED.

Social Service and Health Matters

MOVED by Alderman Sweeney,

THAT the report of the Board of Administration (Social Service and Health Matters), dated December 17, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED.

Harbours and Parks Matters

Park Board: Advance
Allocation of Capital Funds
(Clause 1)

After considering the Board of Administration report (Harbours and Parks Matters), dated December 17, 1971, conveying a request from the Park Board in regard to advance allocation of capital funds respecting New Brighton Park Proposed Replacement Swimming Pool, and Lord Byng High School Indoor Swimming Pool, it was

MOVED by Alderman Phillips,

THAT approval be given to the following advance allocations of capital funds:

New Brighton Park proposed replacement	
Swimming Pool	\$250,000
Lord Byng High School indoor	
Swimming Pool	\$480,000

subject to the following report of the Director of Finance:

"The Director of Finance reports that advance allocations from Park Board 1971 - 1975 Capital Funds of \$250,000 for the New Brighton Park swimming pool and the \$480,000 for the Lord Byng indoor swimming pool can be covered by adjustment of the 1972 and subsequent years' capital budget allocations."

- CARRIED.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Harbours and Parks Matters (continued)

Lease of Admiralty Reserve
from Dominion Government
(Clause 2)

After considering the request from the Park Board, contained in this clause of the Board of Administration report, it was

MOVED by Alderman Phillips,

THAT the Council agree to the transfer of the lease of Admiralty Reserve to the Vancouver-Fraser Park District for regional park purposes, subject to the approval of the Governor General in Council, as required, and subject to action as necessary and determined by the Corporation Counsel.

- CARRIED.

During consideration of the foregoing matters, Alderman Bird took the Chair, to relieve His Worship the Mayor to attend to other business.

Building and Planning Matters

Grant Request: Hastings-Sunrise Action Council
(Clause 2)

After considering the grant request of the Hastings-Sunrise Action Council and the reports of the Director of Planning and Civic Development and the Director of Social Planning/Community Development, it was

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

THAT this clause be referred back for recommendation from the officials.

- CARRIED.

Balance of Building and Planning Matters

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

THAT Clauses 1 and 4 of the report of the Board of Administration (Building and Planning Matters), dated December 17, 1971, be adopted, and Clause 3 be received as information.

- CARRIED.

(In considering Clause 4, relative to rezoning for the West End Community Centre/Senior Citizens' Project, a letter was received from the Town Planning Commission, under date of December 20, 1971.)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

General Report, December 17, 1971 (continued)

Licenses and Claims Matters

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

THAT the report of the Board of Administration (Licenses and Claims Matters), dated December 17, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED.

Finance Matters

MOVED by Alderman Sweeney

THAT the report of the Board of Administration (Finance Matters), dated December 17, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED.

C. Property Matters,
December 17, 1971

MOVED by Alderman Phillips,

THAT the report of the Board of Administration (Property Matters), dated December 17, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED.

D. Proposed Senior Citizens' Public Housing
Project: Kitsilano, South-east Corner
7th Avenue and Yew Street

The Board of Administration, under date of December 17, 1971, submitted the following report:

"The Director of Planning and Civic Development has submitted a report dated December 10 1971 from the Technical Planning Board in response to Council's resolution of March 30, 1971 calling for the location of possible sites in the Kitsilano area, for a senior citizens' high-rise project.

The Technical Planning Board recommends:

- "(1) THAT Council approve Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, Block 304, District Lot 526, as the site for a senior citizens' project to be provided under Section 40 of the National Housing Act and request the Senior Governments to investigate this project on the basis that the City would assemble the land for sale to the Federal-Provincial partnership as in the case of the West End (FP 10) Senior Citizens' Project, for the partnership to construct the project thereon, in accordance with the RM-3 District Schedule of the Zoning and Development By-law.

Preliminary investigations indicate that costs per unit may be about \$12,500 for high-rise and \$11,700 for a low-rise building and that a limit may be placed on the project by the Provincial Government of approximately \$10,200. Accordingly the Technical Planning Board recommends

- (2) THAT application be made to accept the project on the basis of the approximate cost figures prepared. If the project is approved in principle by the three levels of government, then an investigation be undertaken to include an examination of both high-rise and low-rise construction, to take account of both economic and social factors and the effect of the development on the surrounding area.

(continued)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Proposed Senior Citizens' Public Housing
Project: Kitsilano, South-east Corner
7th Avenue and Yew Street (continued)

- (3) THAT, subject to agreement by the Senior Governments to proceed on the above basis, the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be instructed to negotiate the purchase of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, Block 304, District Lot 526.
- (4) THAT the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be given authority to assist in the rehousing of people displaced because of the proposed development, it being noted that the B.C. Housing Commission gives first priority to people displaced by the acquisition and clearance of the recommended site.
- (5) THAT arrangements be made to allow people with experience in living in this type of accommodation to participate in the planning of the project."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the recommendations of the Technical Planning Board be approved

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

That the foregoing report of the Board of Administration be adopted.

- CARRIED.

E. Park and Ride from the P.N.E.

The Board of Administration, under date of December 15, 1971, submitted the following report:

"The City Engineer reports as follows:

"On September 28, 1971, Council received a report from the Board of Administration on the matter of establishing a Park and Ride service from a perimeter parking lot at the P.N.E. to assist in reducing traffic volumes into the Central Business District and to relieve downtown parking problems.

Council requested that:

- "(a) Negotiations be commenced to obtain the best parking lot in the area to provide an adequate service for the parking of 300 to 500 cars;
- (b) The program be based on a trial period of one year;
- (c) The necessary details therefore be worked out for report back to Council."

In accordance with Council's instructions a meeting was held with Mr. W.M. McFulay, Operations Manager, B.C. Hydro and Mr. J.D. Rennie, General Manager, P.N.E. to review Park and Ride bus service details and negotiate for the use of the P.N.E. Parking Lot Number 6 at the corner of Windermere and Hastings Streets. The B.C. Hydro arrangements were concluded as follows, however, with respect to the P.N.E., it was not possible to reach agreement on the use of their parking lot.

(continued)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Park and Ride from the P.N.E. (continued)

I B.C. HYDRO

B.C. Hydro report that they are prepared to undertake this service for a one-year trial period on the following basis:

1. The parking would be free at the parking lot.
2. The service would be operated on weekdays with diesel buses and would be joined with the No. 1 Beach service. The routing in the downtown area would be via Hastings and Burrard Streets in both directions. These buses would operate non-stop between the P.N.E. lot and Main Street.
3. The service in the morning would leave the P.N.E. lot at 10-minute intervals from approximately 7:30 A.M. to 8:50 A.M. and would leave Robson and Burrard in the evenings at 10-minute intervals from approximately 4:30 P.M. to 5:50 P.M.
4. The regular 25-cent local fare would be charged and transfers would be issued and accepted for passengers wishing to transfer to or from the buses in the downtown area. However, transfers would not be valid for passengers transferring to or from B.C. Hydro services at the P.N.E. lot.
5. On the basis of a 1½-hour service in the morning and afternoon, a minimum of 600 passengers daily (300 in the morning and 300 in the afternoon) would have to be carried to meet B.C. Hydro operating expenses. The extension of the service beyond the 1½-hour periods would require an additional 40 passengers in each period to be carried for each additional half hour of morning and evening service.
6. B.C. Hydro are prepared to operate the service if they are compensated for their operating losses in the event the above minimum number of passengers are not carried. This compensation would amount to 25 cents per passenger for each passenger below this minimum, and would be based on the average number of passengers carried during the year of operation. Load checks would be taken at regular intervals to determine the number of passengers carried.
7. B.C. Hydro are prepared to provide this service either from the lot at Hastings and Windermere or from the lot at Hastings and Renfrew.
8. Should the lot at Hastings and Windermere be selected and be unavailable during the P.N.E. period, then it will be necessary to operate this service from Kootenay Loop because this service is integrated into B.C. Hydro's regular schedules.
9. If the Windermere lot is unavailable during P.N.E., normal operating expenses for the buses would still be incurred, and B.C. Hydro shall expect to be compensated if the average daily guarantee of 600 passengers is not met during the period they are unable to use the lot.
10. Since B.C. Hydro is providing this service at the request of the City, the capital improvement facilities such as road paving, sidewalk crossings, and bus shelters would be provided by the City and at City expense.
11. The promotion expenses for this service are estimated to be \$5,000 and would be for the account of the City.

(continued)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)Park and Ride from the P.N.E. (continued)

Items 8 and 9 will require further negotiation pending the outcome of negotiations with the Exhibition Park management. However, a shift in the location of this service (if required) during the P.N.E. period, and the resulting increase in on-street parking around the Kootenay Bus Loop would be undesirable, because this area is residential and is already short of on-street parking particularly during the P.N.E. period.

The revised City costs for providing this service using P.N.E. Parking Lot Number 6 are:

FIXED COSTS

(a) Cost of a bus shelter	- \$ 7,000
(b) Cost of a sidewalk crossing on Windermere Street south of Hastings Street	- 1,500
(c) Cost of paving for a bus loop in the parking lot	- 1,000
(d) Cost of traffic signals at Windermere/Hastings Streets	- 6,000
(e) Initial promotion expenses	- <u>5,000</u>
Estimated Capital Cost	- <u>\$ 20,500</u>

OPERATING COSTS

(a) Cost of supplying parking lot for "free parking"	- To be negotiated with P.N.E.
(b) B.C. Hydro's stated cost in supplying the bus service is \$150 per day, or approximately \$3,000 per month. The City would have to compensate B.C. Hydro for the difference between this cost and actual passenger revenues.	

B.C. Hydro report that because of the lead time required to implement a bus service of this size, the P.N.E. Park and Ride trial service could not be arranged now until the May, 1972 schedule changes.

II PACIFIC NATIONAL EXHIBITION

The Park and Ride scheme was discussed first with Mr. J.D. Rennie, General Manager, P.N.E. Mr. Rennie took the matter up with the P.N.E. Gates and Traffic Committee. The Committee was informed that 'City Council are unanimous in their decision to implement a system whereby people could park their cars on the Parks Board lot across from the Forum or on P.N.E. property and commute by bus to the downtown section. Several P.N.E. lots were discussed but the preferred area is the Windermere lot south of Hastings and east of Windermere. (The City) propose to implement this system on a five day per week - 52 weeks per year trial basis and wish an assessed cost from P.N.E. for area provided.'

The Committee expressed concern regarding the proposed location in relation to the effect it would have on P.N.E. traffic as this particular location is already a heavy traffic area. After discussion, the Gates and Traffic Committee passed the following resolution:

'That while this Committee agrees with the concept of trying a Park and Ride system to assist in solving the downtown parking problems, it is their suggestion that City Council may wish to reconsider the location of the parking area in light of the recent Kelly report which deals with the entire Lower Mainland transit picture and this would place such a staging area further from the City centre than East Hastings.'

This resolution has been endorsed by the P.N.E. Board of Directors.
(continued)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Park and Ride from the P.N.E. (continued)

III BASIS FOR KELLY REPORT PARK AND RIDE LOCATIONS

Regarding the suggestion by the P.N.E. Gates and Traffic Committee concerning the location of the parking area, it should be pointed out that the "Kelly" report deals only briefly with the Park and Ride concept, mentioning some possible locations in conjunction with a projected regional "fastbus" service.

These Park and Ride locations, i.e. in North Vancouver, Maillardville and Port Coquitlam are conceptual only. No detailed study was made of any particular site, and the concept itself is feasible only as an adjunct to a greatly expanded regional express bus system.

While the P.N.E. may not be ideally situated for perimeter parking in a future regional transportation system, it does, at the present time, fulfill many of the important criteria for a Park and Ride application, i.e.:

1. Location on a main corridor of travel to the Central Business District.
2. Ample parking with easy access to a major street.
3. Frequent nearby transit service.

In view of the proposals that Greater Vancouver Regional District assume a regional transportation function, the experimental nature of this proposed trial Park and Ride service from the P.N.E. should be emphasized. The results of this experiment should be very helpful in assessing, modifying or implementing the Park and Ride proposals of the Kelly report.

Council has already indicated a desire to proceed with a trial Park and Ride from the P.N.E. Since it has so far not been possible to complete negotiations with the Exhibition Park management on the cost and terms of use for P.N.E. Parking Lot Number 6, Council may wish to pursue these negotiations at the Council level."

Your Board submits the matter to Council for Consideration."

Consideration was given to a motion by Alderman Phillips, presented at the last meeting as a Notice. However, this particular motion was not proceeded with.

After due consideration, it was

MOVED by Alderman Phillips,

THAT the City Council restate its position to establish a Park and Ride facility at the P.N.E. as soon as possible, particularly on P.N.E. Parking Lot No. 6.

FURTHER, that a committee be appointed by His Worship the Mayor to negotiate, for report, the whole matter further with the P.N.E. Executive Committee and the B.C. Hydro, with a view to completing negotiations by the end of January, 1972.

- CARRIED.

(continued)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Park and Ride from the P.N.E. (continued)

MOVED by Alderman Rankin, in amendment,
THAT the Council ask the P.N.E. for a decision on Council's
"Park and Ride" proposal by January 15, 1972.

- LOST.

(The motion of Alderman Phillips was put and carried.)

F. Expropriation for West End Community Centre/
Senior Citizens' Project

The Board of Administration, under date of December 15, 1971,
submitted the following report:

"The Supervisor of Property and Insurance reports as follows:-

'On April 6th, 1971, City Council approved a report of the
Board of Administration dated March 15th, 1971, authorizing
the Supervisor of Property and Insurance to acquire the balance
of private properties required for the West End Community Centre
and Senior Citizens' Housing Project.

Negotiations have proceeded with the respective owners with a
view to completing all acquisitions in March, 1972. Seven
properties have been acquired to date. With respect to
the remaining eight ownerships negotiations are stalemated.
Independent appraisals have been called for, however, four of
these owners have refused to allow the appraisers to inspect
their property.

The eight remaining properties to be acquired are listed
following:-

- | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Lot 6 except the E 22 ft., | Block 57, D.L. 185 - 1676 Haro St. |
| Lot 7 except the N 91 ft., | Block 57, D.L. 185 - 876 Bidwell St. |
| Lots 22 and 23, | Block 57, D.L. 185 - 920 Bidwell St. |
| Lot 24 W 1/2, | Block 57, D.L. 185 - 1663 Barclay St. |
| Lot 24 E 1/2, | Block 57, D.L. 185 - 1657 Barclay St. |
| Lot 25 W 1/2, | Block 57, D.L. 185 - 1649 Barclay St. |
| Lot 25 E 1/2, | Block 57, D.L. 185 - 1643 Barclay St. |
| Lot 26 W 1/2, | Block 57, D.L. 185 - 1635 Barclay St. |

The City Solicitor has been consulted. In view of the stalemated
negotiations and in order to facilitate acquisition, he considers
it advisable to proceed with expropriation. This action will
not preclude the continuance of negotiations.

RECOMMENDED

- (a) That since the City has failed to come to an agreement
with the owners and that certain owners have refused
entry to independent appraisers, that the Corporation
Counsel be authorized to expropriate the above properties
in accordance with the resolutions for that purpose
submitted under "Motions".
- (b) That Mr. C. E. Morris be appointed as the City's nominee
to the Board of Arbitration to be constituted to determine
the amount payable to the owners by reason of said ex-
propriation.'

(continued)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (continued) 559

Expropriation for West End Community Centre/
Senior Citizens' Project (continued)

Your Board

RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendations of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be adopted."

MOVED by Alderman Sweeney,
THAT the foregoing report of the Board of Administration be adopted.

- CARRIED.

G. Strathcona Rehabilitation Project
Pre-Agreement Costs Incurred by the
Strathcona Property Owners' and the
Tenants' Association (SPOTA)

The Board of Administration, under date of December 13, 1971, submitted the following report:

"The Assistant Director, Civic Development in his capacity as Chairman of the Strathcona Rehabilitation Project, reports as follows:

"At its meeting on April 21st, 1971, the Strathcona Working Committee passed the following resolution:

'RECOMMENDED that the City and the senior governments approve payments to the Consultants for any advice they have given SPOTA from the date of receipt of the final report to the signing of the agreements for implementation up to a maximum of \$2,500, and that this be charged to the scheme preparation.....'

It was intended by this recommendation to provide SPOTA, as well as the other members of the Committee, with assistance in developing the details of the operation of the Strathcona Rehabilitation Project. The work of the Consultants to SPOTA has made a significant contribution to the preparation of the Agreement and it was for this reason that the other members of the Committee supported the request from SPOTA for assistance. The costs are shareable with the Senior Governments, the City paying 25%. Funds are already available for this under the Urban Renewal "Scheme Preparation" account and the amount of the City's share is \$625. The Provincial and Federal Governments have already agreed to the recommendation but under the Agreement, payment of their share must await the approval of the City's share.

Anticipating Council approval of the recommendation of the Committee, SPOTA used consultants and incurred a total cost of \$2,645.46 made up as follows:

'John Chislett	\$ 234.00
David Spearing, Architect, (Birm-	
ing and Wood)	731.00
Birmingham and Wood	108.46
Michael Harcourt (Lew, Fraser	
& Harcourt, Barristers & Solicitors)	1,300.00
Joseph Y. Wai, Architect	272.00
	<u>\$2,645.46</u>

(cont'd)

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (continued)

Strathcona Rehabilitation Project
Pre-Agreement Costs Incurred by the
Strathcona Property Owners' and the
Tenants' Association (SPOTA) - cont'd

The first two costs were included in a report from the Director of Social Planning/Community Development dated July 8th, 1971 (concerned mainly with pre-contract costs). On August 31, 1971, a motion to pay a sum including the amount of \$965 which was put forward at that time as a "post-contract" cost and which was made up of the only costs which had been billed at that time was lost. An extract of Council Minutes of August 31, 1971, is circulated for information.

The present request is not related in any way to the contract with Birmingham and Wood and it is suggested that Council may wish to consider this decision.

It is suggested that in any event the total obligation assumed by the partnership should not exceed the \$2,500 recommended by the Committee.

Accordingly it is RECOMMENDED THAT:

1. Council consider payment to SPOTA of the City's 25% share of \$965 being the first two items on the list of fees.
2. If (1) above is approved, Council approve a total payment of \$625 as the City's 25% share of the \$2,500 recommended by the Strathcona Working Committee in April, 1971 thus providing for the payment of \$2,500 to SPOTA to be charged 75% to the senior governments and 25% to the City under account code 5866/402 (Urban Renewal Projects).
3. If (1) is not approved, Council approve payment to SPOTA of \$420 as the City's 25% share of \$1,680 (being the total fees incurred by SPOTA, less \$965), thus providing for the payment of \$1,680 to SPOTA to be charged 75% to the senior governments and 25% to the City to be charged to account code 5866/402 (Urban Renewal Projects).'

Your Board submits the recommendations of the Strathcona Working Committee for the consideration of Council.

Whatever Council's decision may be your Board RECOMMENDS that Council inform the two senior governments and SPOTA that the City of Vancouver is not prepared to approve any expenditures which do not have the City's prior consent."

MOVED by Alderman Adams,

THAT no further action be taken with regard to these payments.

- CARRIED.

The Council recessed at approximately 12:00 noon, to reconvene in open session at approximately 2:00 p.m.

Regular Council, December 21, 1971 17

The Council reconvened in open session in the Council Chamber at approximately 2:00 P.M., still in Committee of the Whole, His Worship the Mayor in the Chair, and the following members present:

PRESENT: His Worship the Mayor
Aldermen Adams, Bird. Broome, Calder,
Hardwick, Linnell, Phillips,
Rankin, Sweeney and Wilson

COMMUNICATIONS OR PETITIONS

1. Appointment of Deputy Mayor
Quarter commencing January 1, 1972

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

THAT, pursuant to recommendation of His Worship the Mayor, Alderman Broome be appointed Deputy Mayor for the quarter commencing January 1, 1972.

- CARRIED

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

2. Grant Equal to Taxes:
Clubs operated by Central City Mission

The Board of Administration, under date of November 19, 1971, submitted a report of the Director of Social Planning/Community Development as a result of a further application by the Central City Mission for a grant equal to the taxes in respect of two youth homes owned by service clubs and operated by the Mission.

The Executive Director for the Mission appeared and submitted a brief dated December 2, 1971, in explanation of their position and in support of the application.

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT a grant equal to the taxes on these two youth homes, operated by Central City Mission, be approved.

- LOST

3. Museums Department:
Reorganization

Consideration was given to the Board of Administration report dated October 29, 1971, respecting reorganization: Museums Department and Board of Administration report dated December 15, 1971, submitting report of the Acting Director of Personnel Services.

Letters and delegations were received as follows, expressing various views:

Community Arts Council of Vancouver
(Mr. R. Flitton)

Junior League of Vancouver
(Mrs. John A. Pearkes)

Vancouver Museums and Planetarium Association
(Mrs. Glen Hyatt, Jr.)

Greater Vancouver Civic Museum and
Planetarium Board (Mr. F.R. Whittick, Chairman)

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (cont'd)

Museums Department:
Reorganization (cont'd)

A communication from the Municipal and Regional Employees' Union was noted, asking deferment to hear their delegation at a later date.

After a full consideration, it was,

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,
THAT,

(a) the Council turn over the Museums operation to the Vancouver Museums and Planetarium Association, effective April 1, 1972;

(b) all assets (including artifacts) remain or become the property of the City;

(c) the Council recommend to the 1972 Council that a grant to this Association for the period April 1, 1972 to December 31, 1972, at the annual rate of \$500,000, be approved, less the amount of the salary of the Museums Manager as of the date of the appointment of their Director.

(d) the said Association be authorized to advertise immediately for a 'Director'; the position of Manager to be abolished and the position of 'Director' to be established on the effective date of the appointment of the 'Director';

(e) as early as possible, and preferably within two weeks, a delegation from the Union be heard by Council if the Union so wishes;

(f) the Board of Administration commence all necessary negotiations forthwith.

(g) operation of the Museums to the date of takeover be to the satisfaction of the Board of Administration;

(h) agreement be drawn up in due course with the said Association, satisfactory to the Board of Administration and the Corporation Counsel;

(i) in view of the foregoing action, the resolution of the Greater Vancouver Civic Museums and Planetarium Board in regard to its abolition, referred to this day by the Chairman, be concurred in and the Corporation Counsel, therefore, instructed to prepare for Council consideration, the required amending By-law as necessary;

(j) His Worship the Mayor, on behalf of the Council, express appreciation for the services rendered by the said Board.

- CARRIED

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

H. Development Permit Application re
Warehouse, 816 West 7th Avenue
Fairview Slopes

The Board of Administration, under date of December 20, 1971, submitted a report from the Director of Planning and Civic Development in respect of development permit application for a warehouse at 816 West 7th Avenue in the Fairview Slopes area.

Mr. Jon Petrie appeared and submitted a brief opposing the application and advised he had authority to speak for the President of the Fairview Slopes Ratepayers Association.

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT this matter be deferred until the Council receives a Report Reference from the Director of Planning and Civic Development.

- CARRIED

The Council recessed at approximately 3:45 P.M. following which an 'In Camera' meeting was held and the Council reconvened in open session, at approximately 4:10 P.M.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

I. Development of Blood and Trounce Alleys

The Board of Administration, under date of December 17, 1971, submitted the following report:

"Your Board submit the attached report of the City Engineer and the Director of Planning and Civic Development re Development of Blood and Trounce Alleys.

Your Board endorse recommendations (3) and (4) of the joint report, although it might have been preferable to know the degree of restriction of access to the lane before design commenced.

With regard to (1) and (2), the Director of Planning and Civic Development makes certain recommendations, but these are not endorsed by your Board.

When Council approved Phase 1, Project I, i.e. Maple Tree Square, the resolution contained these words:

"the remaining phases to be reviewed by the Council in relation to demands made on it by other areas of the City."

The known programme to date, and within the Five-Year Plan, is as follows:

	Item	Total Cost	Cost Sharing City	Property Owners
Phase 1	Maple Tree Square	\$ 231,100 *	\$231,000	Nil
Phase 2	Water, Alexander, Powell & Carrall Streets	299,600 **		
	Renovation of Pioneer Place	30,000 **		

cont'd...

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Development of Blood and
Trounce Alleys (cont'd)

Phase 3	Water, Alexander, Powell & Carrall Streets	363,400 **
		<u>\$ 924,100</u>
Blood & Trounce Alleys (portions)	Blood Alley Purchase	\$ 60,000 **
	Undergrounding of Hydro and Telephone	35,000 **
	Improvement Blood Alley	60,000 **
	Portion Trounce Alley	<u>30,000 **</u>
		\$ 185,000
Hastings Street - 2 Blocks only		\$ 174,000 **
		<u><u>\$1,283,100</u></u>

- * Excludes undergrounding cost paid by Utility Companies.
- ** Distribution of cost remains to be determined.

The foregoing represents only part of the total beautification programme which includes the remainder of Gastown (see Note), Chinatown, Broadway, Granville Street, etc., for which towards this total programme the following funds are available at this time.

1971-75 Five-Year Plan	\$ 700,000
1970 Supplemental Capital Programme	425,000
Urban Renewal Funds transferred (for purchase of Blood Alley)	60,000
Federal-Provincial Funds towards Maple Tree Square	70,000
	<u><u>\$1,255,000</u></u>

The current position suggests that careful consideration is necessary before Council embark on a policy of carrying out these works on a basis other than Local Improvement. Page 3 of the report of the City Engineer and the Director of Planning and Civic Development gives the alternatives.

If it is Council's decision to proceed on a Local Improvement basis, the following additional questions remain.

1. Usual Local Improvement (City - 20%), or
Special Beautification system (City - 33%)?
2. Is Blood Alley improvement to be paid for
'at large' or part of the Local Improvement?
3. Is there to be a charge against the New Fountain
and Stanley Hotels?
4. Are undergrounding charges to be included if not
met by B. C. Hydro & Power Authority and B. C.
Telephone Company?

Your Board submit the foregoing report for the CONSIDERATION of Council.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Development of Blood and
Trounce Alleys (cont'd)

The recommendations of the officials, in their report of November 23, 1971, and referred to in the above Board of Administration report, are set out as follows:

" If Council wishes to proceed with a beautification program for Blood and Trounce Alleys, then the following recommendations are offered:

1. Council approve, in principle, the formal beautification of Blood and Trounce Alley properties and choose one of the alternatives listed under "COSTS" above.

Further, that negotiations with B.C. Hydro and the B.C. Telephone Company be pursued to obtain the early undergrounding or relocation of utilities at minimum cost to the City if (a) is chosen.

2. Council select one of the above four coat sharing alternatives listed under "COST SHARING ALTERNATIVES". Funds allocated for beautification projects in the 1971-1975 Five Year Plan would be used for the City's share of coats, if any.
3. Instruct the Director of Planning and City Engineer to report back on the selection of consultants to undertake a conceptual design for the beautification of Blood Alley and Trounce Alley properties at a cost of approximately \$2,100.
4. Instruct the City Engineer in conjunction with the Director of Planning to report back on restricted access for vehicular loading and unloading that will be necessary within the re-orientation of Trounce Alley and Blood Alley towards pedestrian use at peak pedestrian times."

(a copy of the officials' report is on file in the City Clerk's Office)

In connection with this matter, a communication was received from the Chairman of the Historic Area Advisory Board forwarding a resolution endorsing implementation of the beautification of Blood and Trounce Alleys with utilities underground (i.e. \$125,000), the cost to be borne by the City. A communication was noted from the Town Planning Commission dated December 3, 1971, suggesting decision be deferred on the matter until an opportunity for study has been given.

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,

THAT the above recommendations 3 and 4 in the officials' report dated November 23, 1971, be approved and recommendations 1 and 2 be referred to the Standing Committee on Planning and Development to meet with the Town Planning Commission representatives and the appropriate City officials for further consideration, within a month's time.

- CARRIED

J. Internal Audit Division:
Request for Additional Staff

The Board of Administration, under date of December 7, 1971, submitted a report of the Director of Finance setting out in detail the need for an additional Audit Clerk. The following is extracted therefrom:

cont'd....

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Internal Audit Division:
Request for Additional Staff (cont'd)

"Requirement

The requirement is for additional staff to cover the 1400 hours increased workload of the Division. The average annual working hours per staff member is 1630 hours excluding holidays and vacation. Therefore, the addition of one audit clerk would be sufficient to cover the increased workload. The additional clerk would be used firstly to improve the late completion date situation and secondly to cope with the increased workload and re-establishing acceptable audit standards. Any excess of time would be utilized in expansion of audits where it would be most beneficial to the City, in terms of effective audit coverage and adequate internal control.

Recommendation

An additional Audit Clerk II position, subject to classification by the Director of Personnel Services, be authorized effective January 1, 1972 at a cost of \$7700 (1971 rates including fringe benefits) to be included in 1972 budget for the Internal Audit Division. "

Your Board recommends approval of the recommendation of the Director of Finance.

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
THAT the foregoing recommendation be approved.

- CARRIED

K. Taylor Manor:
Staff Organization

The Board of Administration, under date of December 16, 1971, submitted the following report:

The Director of Welfare and Rehabilitation reports as follows:'

"On October 19th, 1971, City Council adopted my recommendations relative to the staff organization of Taylor Manor which provided for the establishment of two new positions, an Institutional Service Worker and a Rest Home Attendant (increasing the staff complement to 14 positions), and requested that the Director of Personnel Services report on the classification of the Assistant Superintendent and the Rest Home Attendant II positions. Further to these items, I have advised the Acting Director of Personnel Services that in order to provide adequate supervisory coverage for specified shifts, an additional Assistant Superintendent's position will be required. However, rather than increasing the total staff establishment further, I have recommended that Mr. W. Hood (Rest Home Attendant II) be assigned additional duties and responsibilities at the Assistant Superintendent level. With regard to necessary supervision and care of residents on an around the clock basis, the Superintendent and the two Assistants will cover the day and afternoon shifts and a Rest Home Attendant II (e.g. Practical Nurse) will be assigned to the evening shift. In summary, the staff complement would appear as follows: (not including casual staff)

cont'd....

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Taylor Manor:
Staff Organization (cont'd)

- | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Superintendent | |
| 2 | Assistant Superintendents | |
| 1 | Rest Home Attendant II | |
| 3 | Rest Home Attendant I's | |
| 3 | Institutional Service Workers | |
| 1 | Building Service Worker I | |
| 1 | Cook I | |
| 1 | Rest Home Attendant II |) <u>New Positions</u> |
| 1 | Institutional Service Worker |) See Report of
A.Dir. of
Personnel Serv. |

14 Permanent Positions

The additional cost, as reported to Council earlier, will be charged to the present per diem rate.

The Acting Director of Personnel Services reports as follows:

'City Council on October 19th, 1971 adopted the Director of Welfare and Rehabilitation's report entitled "Taylor Manor - Staff Organization" which contained the recommendations that: (1) Two new positions be established, and (2) that the classifications of the Assistant Superintendent and Rest Home Attendant II be reviewed. Accordingly, I report as follows:

(1) Two New Positions

(a) Rest Home Attendant II

The incumbent of this position will be primarily responsible for providing practical nursing services required by residents and for performing a variety of cleaning tasks. In accordance with the recommendation of the Director of Welfare and Rehabilitation, the incumbent selected for this position will be assigned to the evening shift.

This is work at the Rest Home Attendant II level and I recommend that it be so classified effective when adopted.

(b) Institutional Service Worker

The incumbent of this position will be responsible for performing a variety of tasks related to food service and cleaning. The proposed duties and responsibilities are comparable to those performed by the three existing Industrial Service Workers at Taylor Manor, and I therefore recommend that it be so classified effective when adopted.

(2) One Position, "Assistant Superintendent - Home for the Aged" (Vacant)

The Director of Welfare and Rehabilitation has advised me that he plans to upgrade the level of responsibility of this position. In general, he proposes that the Assistant Superintendent assume a more active role in the day to day management of the Home under the Superintendent's guidance.

cont 'd...

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Taylor Manor:
Staff Organization (cont'd)

The incumbent will work specified shifts and for certain shifts will be effectively in-charge of the operation. Responsibilities will include, for example, supervising the routine care provided to residents and specifically providing bedside nursing care for female residents, organizing the evening diversional and recreational program, and supervising the preparation of the evening meal. It is preferred that this position be filled by a female.

Based on the increase in responsibility which has been generally outlined above, I recommend that this position be reclassified from Pay Grade 14 (\$491-585) to Pay Grade 16 (\$616-643, effective range under Personnel Regulation 160-1(a)(2)) plus appropriate shift differential. Class Specification No. 603, "Assistant Superintendent - Home for the Aged" has been appropriately revised, including an increase in the minimum qualifications required.

(2) Two Positions - "Rest Home Attendant II" (Messrs. W. Hood and R. Franklin)

(a) The Director of Welfare and Rehabilitation has further proposed that the responsibilities of the Rest Home Attendant II presently on the day shift (occupied by Mr. W. Hood) be increased to provide greater assistance to the Superintendent.

Working assigned shifts, Mr. Hood will assist the Superintendent in the supervision of personal and nursing care to residents and will be specifically responsible for nursing procedures relating to the male residents. In addition, he will be responsible for the maintenance requirements of the Home including the maintenance and operation of the furnace (requires "R" Class Heating Certificate) and will supervise the ordering and inventory of required supplies.

This is work at the Assistant Superintendent - Home for the Aged level, Pay Grade 16 (\$616-643), plus appropriate shift differential, and I recommend that it be so classified. This reclassification has necessitated the introduction of additional modification to the class specification.

(b) No change in duties or responsibilities for the Rest Home Attendant II position on the afternoon shift, presently filled by Mr. R. Franklin, has been proposed. The incumbent is currently performing duties which are within the confines of the class specification for Rest Home Attendant II, and I therefore recommend no change in salary or classification at this time. The administrative changes which are being introduced at Taylor Manor may eventually affect this position; therefore I will review it again in six months time.

The estimated recurring annual cost of the proposed reclassifications determined by the increase in the mid step in the pay range at 1971 2nd half rates and including fringe benefits at 10% will be \$1,530.

The Comptroller of Accounts reports that the additional funds, estimated at \$75.00 for the remainder of 1971 (1 month) are available within the 1971 Departmental Budget.

This report has been discussed with the Director of Welfare and Rehabilitation, the Administrative Analyst and the Business Manager, Municipal and Regional Employees Union, all of whom concur herein.'

cont'd...

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Taylor Manor:
Staff Organization (cont'd)

SUMMARY

Incumbent	Present Classification	Proposed Classification	Effective Date
One NEW Position		Institutional Service Worker P.G. 8 (\$382-451)	When Adopted
One NEW Position		Rest Home Attendant II P.G. 15 (\$517- 616, includes consideration for shift work)	When Adopted
Vacant	Asst. Superinten- dent-Home for the Aged P.G. 14 (\$491-585)	Asst. Superin- tendent-Home for the Aged P.G. 16 (\$616-643)* Plus appropriate shift differential	When Adopted
W. Hood	Rest Home Attendant II P.G. 15 (\$517-616), includes consider- ation for shift work	Asst. Superinten- dent-Home for the Aged P.G. 16 (\$616-643)* Plus appropriate shift differential	When Adopted
R. Franklin	Rest Home Attendant II P.G. 15 (\$517-616) includes consider- ation for shift work	NO CHANGE	

1971 2nd half rates

* Effective Range under Personnel
Regulation 160-1(a)(2)

YOUR BOARD

RECOMMENDS that the foregoing recommendations of the
Director of Welfare and Rehabilitation and
the Acting Director of Personnel Services
be adopted

MOVED by Ald. Hardwick,
THAT the foregoing recommendation of the Board of Adminis-
tration be approved.

- CARRIED

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

L. Elderly Citizens' Housing Aid Act

The Board of Administration, under date of December 17, 1971, submitted the following report:

" The Director of Planning and Civic Development reports as follows:

"On November 9th, 1971, the Director of Planning and Civic Development reported on housing for senior citizens and advised at that time 'Budget money for the one-third grant for 1971 has already been allocated.....'. This information was based on discussions held with senior citizens' groups who reported that they were delayed because they were not as yet able to secure grants.

On November 16th, 1971, City Council resolved:

'THAT the Provincial Government be requested to advance funds out of its 1972 budget for senior citizens' housing under the Elderly Citizens Housing Aid Act.'

His Worship the Mayor wrote to the Provincial Secretary (copy attached) and received a reply dated December 10th, noting that ample funds are still available. A copy of the letter from the Honourable Wesley D. Black is attached.

It is RECOMMENDED that His Worship the Mayor thank the Honourable W. D. Black and further, that a copy of the letter from the Provincial Secretary be circulated to all the senior citizens' groups who have requested aid, to advise them of the above fact."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development be approved."

MOVED by Ald. Bird,

THAT the foregoing recommendation of the Board of Administration be approved.

- CARRIED

M. Park Board: Riley Park Community Hall
Extension and Queen Elizabeth Park Restaurant

The Board of Administration, under date of December 17, 1971, submitted the following report:

" Your Board submits the following requests from the Board of Parks and Public Recreation.

1. "Opening of Bids - Riley Park Community Hall Extension

"Seven bids were received with the low basic bid of Pacific Coast Construction Co. Ltd. in the amount of \$224,466 and a separate price of \$17,140 for an additional activity room.

"It was regularly moved and seconded,

"RESOLVED: That the bids be referred to the Superintendent and, providing the low bid is within the funds available, that City Council be requested to award the contract on behalf of the Board as soon as possible.

- Carried. "

cont'd ...

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Riley Park Community Hall Extension
and Queen Elizabeth Park Restaurant (cont'd)

Will you please request City Council to award a contract on behalf of the Board as soon as possible in the amount of \$241,606 to the Pacific Coast Construction Co. Ltd.

Capital funds are provided for this contract in the Board's Five Year Plan Capital funds allocation. In addition, to the contract amount, funds are available for architect and consulting fees, furnishings and equipment, etc. "

The Director of Finance reports that in 1971 Council approved an advance allocation of Parks Capital Funds of \$275,000 for the Riley Park Community Hall.

2. "Bids - Queen Elizabeth Park Restaurant

"It was reported that the architect has negotiated with the low bidder to reduce the cost of the project from the original low bid, of four bids, of \$364,977 to approximately \$340,000, plus architect and consulting fees of approximately \$27,000.

"After discussion, it was regularly moved and seconded,

"RESOLVED: That City Council be requested to enter a contract on behalf of the Board with the low bidder International Construction Co. Ltd. in an amount not to exceed \$340,000.

- Carried. "

Will you please request City Council to award a contract to International Construction Co. Ltd. on behalf of the Board in an amount not to exceed \$340,000 as soon as possible. The architect is negotiating the exact contract figure and should have the amount settled within the next few days. Funds are available for the project in the Board's Five Year Plan Capital funds account and from net profit from 1971 and anticipated 1972 income operations.

The Board has agreed to accept a five year renewable lease proposal from Mr. H. Bollman in the amount of a guaranteed annual rental of \$30,295 and, in addition, an amount of 8.1% of gross sales in excess of \$375,000 and 10% of gross sales in excess of \$500,000 per annum.

Mr. Bollman will be responsible for approximately \$150,000 of furnishings and equipment to be provided in the restaurant and gift shop.

The Board is anxious that this project proceed rapidly in order to complete it for opening as early as possible next summer. "

The Director of Finance reports that Council previously approved an allocation of \$300,000 from Parks Development Capital Funds in 1971 for the construction of the Queen Elizabeth Park Restaurant. The construction contract of \$340,000 plus the \$27,000 for architect and consulting fees, as noted in the Parks Board report, amounts to \$367,000 and will therefore require an advance allocation of \$67,000 from the 1972 Parks Development Capital Funds, not from anticipated net profits from income operations in 1971 and 1972 as suggested in the Parks Board report. The Parks Board Superintendent concurs with the change re source of the additional \$67,000 required.

Your Board submits for Council consideration:

- (a) award a contract on behalf of the Parks Board to the Pacific Coast Construction Co. Ltd. in the amount of \$241,606 for Riley Park Community Hall Extension.
- (b) award a contract on behalf of the Parks Board to International Construction Co. Ltd. in an amount not to exceed \$340,000 for Queen Elizabeth Park Restaurant.
- (c) an advance allocation of \$67,000 from the 1972 Parks Development Capital Funds. "

cont'd...

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Riley Park Community Hall Extension
and Queen Elizabeth Park Restaurant (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,

THAT the Council approve a contract to the Pacific Coast Construction Co. Ltd., on behalf of the Park Board, in the amount of \$241,606 for construction of the Riley Park Community Hall extension; the contract to be satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Rankin,

THAT with respect to a contract for the construction of the proposed Queen Elizabeth Park restaurant, no action be taken on this matter for the time being, and the Park Board be invited to appear before Council for discussion on the matter, if the Board so wishes.

- CARRIED

N. Report of Standing Committee on
Planning and Development, December 16

MOVED by Ald. Bird,

THAT the report of the Standing Committee on Planning and Development, dated December 16, 1971, be adopted after striking from recommendation (f) of Clause 1 re False Creek, the words:

'for the first stage of development'.

- CARRIED

(It was agreed that implementation of the recommendation in Clause 2 re Town Planning Commission, be forthwith.)

O. Report of Standing Committee on
Transportation, December 16

MOVED by Ald. Bird,

THAT the report of the Standing Committee on Transportation dated December 16, 1971, be adopted.

- CARRIED

P. Automobile Fleet Insurance

The Board of Administration, under date of December 20, 1971, submitted the following report:

The Director of Finance and the Supervisor of Property and Insurance report as follows:-

Reference is made to Clause 3, Board of Administration, Property Matters, dated December 10th, 1971 and action of City Council, December 14th, 1971, adopting the recommendation that the tender submitted by Vancouver Holdings (B.C.) Limited on behalf of the Hartford Insurance Group be accepted but subject to the understanding that any reduction in premium which may arise out of No Fault Insurance regulations shall accrue to the benefit of the City.

"Council is advised that Vancouver Holdings on behalf of The Hartford Group have been the successful tenderer for the City's Automobile Fleet Insurance for the past six years. In each instance they have been considerably lower than the nearest competitor. This year they were the only tenderer.

At the request of the Supervisor of Property and Insurance, the existing broker contacted the Hartford Group in Toronto and the following is a summary of their verbal reply:

cont'd...

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION AND OTHER REPORTS (cont'd)

Automobile Fleet Insurance (cont'd)

"The Hartford Group have agreed to reconsider No Fault premium charges if altered in the new year.

When effected, the premium is not to be reduced to less than the altered manual rates."

It should be noted that the City is not being charged the standard \$22.00 per vehicle for No Fault insurance but rather \$11.50 per vehicle due primarily to our large fleet discount.

Inasmuch as it is essential that the City's vehicles be insured and the Hartford Group were the only underwriters prepared to write this risk, your officials,

RECOMMEND that the tender quotation submitted by Vancouver Holdings (B.C.) Limited on behalf of the Hartford Insurance Company be accepted in accordance with the tender submitted."

Your Board submits the foregoing report of the Director of Finance and the Supervisor of Property and Insurance to Council for their CONSIDERATION.

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT the recommendation of the Director of Finance and Supervisor of Property and Insurance, contained in the foregoing report be approved.

- CARRIED

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Ald. Linnell,

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Linnell,

SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

BY-LAWS

1. BY-LAW TO DIVERT TO OTHER PURPOSES
CERTAIN PROCEEDS OF BY-LAW No. 4468

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT leave be given to introduce a by-law to divert to other purposes certain proceeds of By-law No. 4468, and the By-law be read a first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Mayor in the Chair.

- CARRIED

cont'd.....

BY-LAWS (cont'd)

By-law to divert to other purposes
certain proceeds of By-law No. 4468 (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.
- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,
THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.
- CARRIED BY THE REQUIRED MAJORITY

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,
THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City
Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate
Seal.
- CARRIED BY THE REQUIRED MAJORITY

(The By-law received three readings)

2. BY-LAW TO VARY CERTAIN PROPOSED PROJECTS
FOR WHICH THE CITY OF VANCOUVER MAY BORROW
CERTAIN MONIES IN ANY OF THE YEARS 1971 to
1975 INCLUSIVE WITHOUT THE ASSENT OF THE
ELECTORS

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,
THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to vary certain
proposed projects for which the City of Vancouver may borrow
certain monies in any of the years 1971 to 1975 inclusive
without the assent of the electors, and the By-law be read a
first time.
- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,
THAT the By-law be read a second time.
- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,
THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole,
to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Mayor in
the Chair.
- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.
- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

cont'd....

BY-LAWS (cont'd)

By-law to vary certain proposed projects
for which the City of Vancouver may borrow
certain monies in any of the years 1971
to 1975 inclusive without the assent of the
electors (cont'd)

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,
 THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED BY THE REQUIRED
 MAJORITY

MOVED by Ald. Adams,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,
 THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City
Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate
Seal.

- CARRIED BY THE REQUIRED
 MAJORITY

(The By-law received three readings)

3 . BY-LAW TO AMEND BY-LAW No. 4450,
 BEING THE LICENSE BY-LAW

MOVED by Ald. Bird,
SECONDED by Ald. Wilson,
 THAT leave be given to introduce a By-law to amend By-law
No. 4450, being the License By-law, and the By-law be read a
first time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Bird,
SECONDED by Ald. Wilson,
 THAT the By-law be read a second time.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Bird,
SECONDED by Ald. Wilson,
 THAT Council do resolve itself into Committee of the Whole,
to consider and report on the By-law, His Worship the Mayor in
the Chair.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Bird,
 THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

- CARRIED

The Committee then rose and reported the By-law complete.

MOVED by Ald. Bird,
SECONDED by Ald. Wilson,
 THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

- CARRIED

MOVED by Ald. Bird,
SECONDED by Ald. Wilson,
 THAT the By-law be read a third time and the Mayor and City
Clerk be authorized to sign same and affix thereto the Corporate
Seal.

- CARRIED

(The By-law received three readings)

MOTIONS

1. Allocation of Land for Highway Purposes
(closing, etc., portion of Tyne Street
at Kingsway)

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
SECONDED by Ald. Wilson,
THAT WHEREAS

1. The City of Vancouver is the owner of all the streets and lanes lying within the limits of the City of Vancouver; and

2. Lot "A", Block 7, District Lots 36 and 40 Group 1, New Westminster District, Plan 6420; has been established for highway;

3. A portion of said Lot "A" is surplus to the City's highway requirements;

4. The abutting owner of Lot 46, except part dedicated for road on Plan 13656, said Block 7, wishes to acquire the surplus portion of highway;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Lot "A", Block 7, District Lots 36 and 40, Group 1, New Westminster District, Plan 6420, established for highway; be closed, stopped up and conveyed to the owner of abutting Lot 46 except part dedicated for road, Plan 13656, said Block 7; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the said Lot "A" and the said portion of Lot 46 be subdivided in a manner which will create one lot being formerly said portion of Lot 46 and a portion of said Lot "A" and the remaining portion of said Lot "A" dedicated for highway purposes.

- CARRIED

2. Allocation of Land for Highway Purposes
(Closing, etc., portion of Lane East of
Gladstone Street, south of 25th Avenue:
Low Rental Housing Project)

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney
SECONDED by Ald. Wilson,
THAT WHEREAS

1. The City of Vancouver is the owner of all the streets and lanes lying within the limits of the City of Vancouver; and

2. A portion of lane dedicated by the deposit of plan 13705, is surplus to the City's highway requirements; and

3. The abutting owner wishes to acquire the surplus portion of lane;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that all that portion of lane dedicated by the deposit of plan 13705, lying between the production northerly of the easterly limit and the production easterly of the northerly limit of Lot 1, Blocks 4 and 10 to 13, District Lot 741, Group 1, New Westminster District, Plan 13705; the same as shown outlined red on plan prepared by A. Burhoe, B.C.L.S. dated November 3, 1971, and marginally numbered LF5882, a print of which is hereunto annexed, be closed, stopped up and conveyed to the owner of said Lot 1; and BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the said closed lane be consolidated with said Lot 1.

- CARRIED

MOTIONS (cont'd)

3. Allocation of Land for Highway Purposes
(Closing, etc., portion of the lane North
of Brigadoon, East of Victoria, Block 57,
D.L. 727 (Fraserview)

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
SECONDED by Ald. Wilson,
THAT WHEREAS

1. The City of Vancouver is the owner of all the streets and lanes lying within the limits of the City of Vancouver; and
2. A portion of lane dedicated by the deposit of Plan 8446 is surplus to the City of Vancouver highway requirements; and
3. The abutting owner wishes to acquire the surplus portion of lane;
4. Council's resolution of April 27th, 1971, closed a portion of said lane in Block 57;
5. A further portion of the lane is to be closed;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council's Resolution of April 27th, 1971, closing portion of lane in Block 57, District Lot 727, (Fraserview), Group 1, New Westminster District, Plan 8446, be rescinded; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT all that portion of lane dedicated by the deposit of plan 8446 lying between the production southerly of the westerly and easterly limits of Lot 9, Block 57, District Lot 727, (Fraserview), Group 1, New Westminster District, Plan 8446; the same as shown outlined red on plan prepared by A. Burhoe, B.C.L.S. dated May 30th, 1968, and marginally numbered LF4079, a print of which is hereto annexed, be closed, stopped up and conveyed to the abutting owner, and;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the said closed lane be consolidated with the abutting lands.

- CARRIED BY THE
REQUIRED MAJORITY

4. Expropriation of Certain Parcels or Tracts
of land for West End Community Centre/Senior
Citizens' Projects

MOVED by Ald. Sweeney,
SECONDED by Ald. Wilson,

THAT WHEREAS the City of Vancouver desires to acquire all those certain parcels or tracts of land and premises situate in the City of Vancouver, in the Province of British Columbia, more particularly known and described as follows:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Lot 6, except the E. 22', Block 57, | 1676 Haro Street |
| District Lot 185, Plan 92, Gr.1, | |
| N.W.D. | |
| Lot 7, except N. 91', Block 57, | 876 Bidwell Street |
| District Lot 185, Plan 92, Gr.1, | |
| N.W.D. | |
| Lots 22 and 23, Block 57, District | 920 Bidwell Street |
| Lot 185, Plan 92, Gr. 1, N.W.D. | |

cont'd...

MOTIONS (cont'd)

Expropriation of Certain Parcels
or Tracts of Land (cont'd)

Lot 24, West Half, Block 57, District Lot 185, Plan 92, Gr. 1, N.W.D.	1663 Barclay Street
Lot 24, East Half, Block 57, District Lot 185, Plan 92, Gr.1, N.W.D.	1657 Barclay Street
Lot 25, West Half, Block 57, District Lot 185, Plan 92, Gr. 1, N.W.D.	1649 Barclay Street
Lot 25, East Half, Block 57, District Lot 185, Plan 92, Gr. 1, N.W.D.	1643 Barclay Street
Lot 26, West Half, Block 57, District Lot 185, Plan 92, Gr. 1, N.W.D.	1635 Barclay Street

pursuant to its powers under sections 193 and 204(j)(viii) of the "Vancouver Charter", Statutes of British Columbia 1953, Chapter 55, and amendments thereto;

AND WHEREAS the City of Vancouver has failed to come to an agreement with the owners of the real property aforesaid as to the terms of acquisition thereof;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the real property aforesaid be, and the same is, hereby expropriated by the City of Vancouver.

- CARRIED

5. Submarine:
Federal Intervention

The following Notice of Motion was submitted at the Council meeting on December 14, 1971:

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT WHEREAS there seems to be little reason to feel threatened if the technology of a three man submarine falls into the hands of the Soviet Union;

AND WHEREAS the work of International Hydrodynamics Ltd. represents the kind of high technology industry that we should be encouraging:

AND WHEREAS a government subsidy is no substitute for viable commercial business;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Vancouver City Council protest the decision of the Federal Government to cancel the sale of a submersible research craft to the Soviet Union and ask other municipal Councils in Greater Vancouver to join the protest.

- CARRIED

(The motion was put and carried)

ENQUIRIES AND OTHER MATTERS

Alderman Wilson -
Hastings Street
Beautification Project

referred to a communication from the Improvement Downtown East Area Society in respect of Hastings Street beautification project with particular reference to delays and the matter of renovation of Pioneer Square. The Alderman requested this communication be referred to the appropriate officials for a progress report.

His Worship so directed.

Alderman Wilson -
Mennonite Brethren
Proposed Housing
Development

enquired at the last meeting regarding price of land which the Mennonite Brethren propose to purchase for a housing development and referred to the answer given by Commissioner Sutton Brown respecting the City's policy.

Alderman Hardwick -
First Council meeting
1972

enquired of the date of the first Council meeting in 1972 and was advised by His Worship that the date is Wednesday, January 5, 1972.

Alderman Adams -
Vancouver Fraser Park
District: Budget

advised the Vancouver Fraser Park District has submitted a budget to Victoria which provides for an increase in the assessment from 0.35 mills to 0.5 mills.

MOVED by Ald. Adams,

THAT the Vancouver representatives on the Vancouver Fraser Park District be instructed to vote for a budget which provides for a mill assessment of not greater than 0.35 mills.

(Notice)

Notice was called and recognized by the Chair.

Alderman Rankin -
Snow Clearance:
Side Streets

requested the Board of Administration be asked to look into the matter of snow clearance of side streets and report.

Commissioner Ryan reported on the status of the situation advising it is expected the side streets will be plowed by the weekend.

Alderman Phillips -
Snow Clearance

requested that a report be submitted by the City Engineer giving details respecting snow clearance such as procedures, priorities, etc.

His Worship so directed.

NOTICE OF MOTION

The following Notices of Motion were submitted and recognized by the Chair.

1. Calling of Notice:
Procedure

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,
SECONDED by Ald. Broome,

THAT WHEREAS the present Council procedure allows any single member of Council to call Notice on a motion brought before Council by another member;

AND WHEREAS some motions are of such a nature that they should be dealt with quickly rather than postponed to the following meeting:

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Procedure By-law be amended so that a call of NOTICE by a member of Council in accordance with Rule 31 (2), may be overruled by a vote of 8 members of Council on the grounds that the motion is of such urgency it should be dealt with without delay.

(Notice)

2. Costs of Law Enforcement

MOVED by Ald. Phillips,
SECONDED by Ald. Sweeney,

THAT WHEREAS police activities have become increasingly difficult in recent years and no longer are confined to the traditional role of 'protection of property' which was the justification for police costs being charged to the property tax;

AND WHEREAS it would be desirable to have more police on walking beats to promote safety on the streets and to increase direct contact between police officers and citizens;

AND WHEREAS police costs already represent the largest single cost item on the City's budget and additional police would add to the property tax burden;

AND WHEREAS the R.C.M.P. provides policing to much of the province and the cost is borne by the Federal Government, which derives its income primarily from income taxes;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Vancouver City Council request a meeting with the Attorney General of the Provincial Government to discuss provincial assistance on meeting the increasing costs of law enforcement.

(Notice)

3. Parking Prohibition:
Snow Clearance

MOVED by Ald. Linnell,


THAT, when a snow alert is broadcast by the City Engineer parking be prohibited as follows:

'on the even side of streets on even numbered days
and on the odd side of streets on odd numbered days'

(Notice)

The Council adjourned at approximately 5:15 P.M.

The foregoing are Minutes of the regular Council meeting of December 21, 1971, adopted by Council on January 5, 1972.


MAYOR


CITY CLERK

December 17th, 1971

The following is a report of the Board of Administration:

WORKS & UTILITY MATTERS
CITY ENGINEER'S REPORT

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. Lane Pavement on the Lane South of
 12th Avenue, Oak Street to Spruce Street

"At a Special Council meeting following a Court of Revision on November 4th, 1971, Council determined that this Local Improvement Project (Schedule 408, Item 93) be deferred and that the views of the property owners be obtained.

Letters and ballots were mailed to the 13 affected property owners. Eight replies were received:-

In Favour	1
Opposed	7
	<hr/>
	8

I RECOMMEND that the Local Improvement Project for a lane pavement on the lane south of 12th Avenue from Oak Street to Spruce Street (Schedule 408, Item 93) be not undertaken."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

- 2. Lease of City Property for
 'Adventure Playground' - Strathcona

"The British Columbia Housing Management Commission has applied to lease a City-owned area located at the south west corner of the McLean Park housing project at Gore Avenue and Union Street in order to create an 'Adventure Playground' to serve the children of the McLean Park development.

This area was reserved for street purposes in connection with the proposed traffic arrangements for the new Georgia Viaduct. As a result of changes in the east approach to the Viaduct, this area is not required at this time.

I RECOMMEND that the street area at the intersection of Gore Avenue and Union Street shown outlined in red on the attached plan be closed, stopped up and leased to the British Columbia Housing Management Commission for the above-mentioned use, subject to the following conditions:-

- (a) The term of the lease to be 10 years, subject to six month's notice of cancellation.
- (b) No building to be erected on the leased area.
- (c) A public utilities easement to be retained over the lease area.
- (d) The rental to be a nominal \$1.00 per year which is considered acceptable by the Supervisor of Property & Insurance in relation to its proposed use.
- (e) An agreement to the satisfaction of the Corporation Counsel and the City Engineer."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

3. Sewers in and Adjacent to
 Maple Tree Square

"To enable the development of Maple Tree Square to proceed in an orderly manner, it is necessary to construct storm and sanitary sewers prior to the installation of other services and the brick paving.

The estimated cost of this work is \$140,000.

I RECOMMEND that \$140,000 be appropriated from Account Code 117/7903, 'Provision for Prior to Paving Work'."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing be approved.

4. Tender for Supply and Installation
 of Stage Lighting Control Equipment,
 Queen Elizabeth Theatre

Tenders for the above were opened by your Board on September 22, 1971 and referred to the City Engineer and the Auditorium Manager for report. The officials concerned report as follows:

"On May 18th, 1971, a recommendation of the Board of Administration re Finance Matters, dated May 14, 1971, was adopted by the Vancouver City Council authorizing the expenditure of \$70,000 for the first phase of a three year program to replace the stage lighting control equipment in the Queen Elizabeth Theatre. The program proposed a second year expenditure of \$40,000 and a third year expenditure of \$90,000.

The following is a tabulation of the bids received, which are based on a three year program.

<u>Item</u>	<u>Control Lighting Limited</u>	<u>Strand Century Limited</u>
a) Phase I - replace 90 dimmers	\$ 38,771.00 *	\$ 57,644.00
b) Phase II - install 30 additional dimmers and an auxiliary control console, and to rebuild the 'patch' panel	\$ 21,367.99 +*	\$ 21,155.00
c) Phase III - replace the existing control system with a programable control system capable of storing sufficient 'preset' lighting scenes for large stage productions.	\$ 94,423.22	\$106,516.00
Total of Tender	\$154,562.21	\$185,315.00

* - Prices have been adjusted to use lower priced option for the 6100 Type dimmers which are adequate.

+ - Phase II involves increasing the electrical capacity of the circuits feeding the additional dimmers. This work will cost approximately \$25,000 and will be done by others.

5% Provincial Sales Tax must be added to the prices shown.

/continued . . .

Clause 4 Continued

A lower bid which was also received from Control Lighting Ltd. (the low bidder), is not recommended because the equipment offered is not considered to be of the quality to ensure reliable operation during the large commercial productions.

Because of the time required to examine the equipment and the tender, Phase I cannot be proceeded with on schedule. It is recommended that both Phase I and Phase II be done in July 1972 to avoid the inconvenience and loss of revenue which could result from a second closure of the Theatre. The Company states that the work should be ordered now to ensure delivery by July 1972. (Each closure would be for approximately 3 weeks.)

The total estimated costs of Phase I and Phase II, including Provincial Sales Tax, are as follows:

	<u>Contract</u>	<u>Other Work</u>	<u>Total</u>
Phase I	\$41,000	-	\$41,000
Phase II	\$22,500	\$26,000	\$48,500
			<u>\$89,500</u>

It was originally estimated that \$40,000 would be required in the second year; however, since \$70,000 was provided in 1971 for Phase I, only \$19,500 is required to complete both Phase I and Phase II.

The City Engineer and the Auditorium Manager RECOMMEND:

- (1) that both Phase I and Phase II be proceeded with now;
- (2) that an additional \$19,500 which is required to complete Phase II, be approved in advance of the 1972 Supplemental Budget;
- (3) that the tender which was submitted by Control Lighting Ltd. (their alternate bid) including the Type 6100-T dimmers option and the recommended spare parts be accepted for a total cost of \$38,771.00 for Phase I and \$21,367.99 for Phase II, plus 5% Provincial Sales Tax;
- (4) that the decision to proceed with Phase III be referred for consideration in the 1973 Supplemental Budget. The tender provides that the Company will proceed with Phase III at the price tendered provided that the City gives written notice to proceed prior to May 1st, 1973.

When Council has made the award, contracts will be prepared to the satisfaction of the Corporation Counsel and signed by your Board."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing report of the above officials be approved.

5. 49th Avenue and Tisdall Street -
School Patrol Signal

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"The regular review of School Crosswalks, to determine requirements for further control, has shown 49th Avenue and Tisdall Street meets the required warrant for a School Patrol Actuated Signal. Cost for this installation is estimated to be \$2,200. In order that this work can be carried out it is necessary that funds be allocated from the Traffic Control Reserve Fund.

Clause 5 Continued

It is therefore RECOMMENDED that the sum of \$2,200 be allocated from the Traffic Control Reserve Fund for this installation.

Your Board RECOMMENDS the foregoing report of the City Engineer be adopted.

6. Block 42/52 - Pacific Centre Ltd.
Relocation Costs - Underground
Utilities

The City Engineer reports as follows:

"On July 9th, 1968, the City of Vancouver entered into an agreement with the Fairview Corporation Limited, Pacific Centre Limited, and Cemp Holdings Limited, which provides for the granting of a lease of land for a structure to extend under certain portions of Howe Street, Georgia Street and Robson Street.

The terms of the lease include a requirement that the City discontinue and/or relocate certain underground utilities so that the developers may have free access to complete their underground structures within these streets.

A. Telephone Ducts

The B.C. Telephone Company originally estimated that the cost to relocate ducts on Robson Street and on Georgia Street would be \$153,500 (\$58,500 on Robson Street, \$95,000 on Georgia Street). This was reported to Council on November 25th, 1969. B.C. Telephone have now estimated that the cost will be \$232,000 (\$102,000 for Robson Street, \$130,000 for Georgia Street) - an additional \$78,500 over their previous estimates. They have also estimated that it will cost \$10,000 to relocate their utilities from the Block 42 lane.

Reasons quoted by B.C. Telephone for the increases are as follows:

- (a) Costs were based on 1969 rates, whereas the work was done in 1971.
- (b) Man-hours of splicing were underestimated.
- (c) Additional work had to be done that was not anticipated in the original estimates.
- (d) Adequate allowances were not made for traffic problems on these busy streets.

B. Other Utilities

The cost to remove and/or relocate City-owned utilities including sewer, water, street lighting, traffic signals, communication and fire alarm is now estimated to be \$124,000. This includes City portion of costs to be negotiated under separate agreement.

Under the original agreement, the Pacific Centre Ltd. and Fairview (B.C.) contribution for the relocation of underground utilities is a maximum of \$75,000. It is estimated that an additional \$49,000 will be required.

Corporation Counsel advises that, subject to the negotiations which the developers have agreed to enter into with respect to the cost sharing on items (iii), (iv) and (v) in the City Engineer's recommendations, the costs to be borne by the City as reported herein are in accordance with the agreement to lease between the City, Pacific Centre and Fairview (B.C.).

The Director of Finance advises that:-

- (1) An additional sum of \$88,500 for telephone ducts can be provided from the 1971 Supplementary Capital funds (for Council information, it is noted that the proceeds from property sales which form part of the Supplementary Funds available, are expected to exceed the amount estimated for 1971).
- (2) An additional sum of \$49,000 for other utilities can be allocated from appropriate capital funds as recommended by the City Engineer.

/continued . . .

Clause 6 Continued

The City Engineer RECOMMENDS:

- 1. Council approve an allocation of \$88,500 of 1971 Supplementary Capital Budget Funds for relocation of B.C. Telephone ducts on Georgia Street and on Robson Street and from the Block 42 lane.
- 2. Other work relating to the Block 42/52 agreement be charged to the following appropriations from Capital Funds:-

<u>Item</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Appropriation Number</u>
i. Watermains on Howe and Georgia Streets	\$ 22,500	0127/7902, Short Notice Projects Unallocated.
ii. Sewers on Georgia Street	\$ 8,000	0117/7904, Reconstruction and Relief Unallocated.
iii. Fire Alarm and Communications on Georgia Street.	\$ 6,000	237/7901, Communications, Project Unallocated.
iv. Traffic Signals	\$ 4,000	Traffic Control Reserve
v. Trolley on Howe Street and Paving on Georgia Street	\$ 8,500	147/7901, Major Street Paving Unallocated. "

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the foregoing report of the City Engineer be adopted.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S).....55/

SOCIAL SERVICE AND HEALTH MATTERSRECOMMENDATION1. Control of Accidental Poisoning

The City of Windsor previously submitted to Council a proposal for requiring legislation for the control of accidental poisoning by making safety containers mandatory of prescribed drugs. At that time, the recommendation of the Health Department was that we could not give support to a legislative control which appeared to specify a patented product produced in the City of Windsor.

The City Clerk on November 22, received a letter from Dr. Henri J. Breault, President of the Ontario Association for Control of Accidental Poisonings, again asking for assistance to press for a Safety Packaging Act.

The Medical Health Officer reports as follows:

"The report of the President of the Ontario Association for the Control of Accidental Poisoning indicates considerable progress towards the adoption of legislation in Ontario and in securing resolutions of national bodies such as the Canadian Paediatric Society and the Canadian Pharmaceutical Association favouring the adoption of child resistant containers. These bodies did not specifically endorse the patented product produced in Ontario.

After reviewing the matter with Mr. Oldham of the Richmond Testing Laboratory of the Canadian Standards Association, I understand that there has been no action by that body in setting out performance standards for child resistant containers. I would therefore recommend that:

- (a) The President of the Ontario Association for Control of Accidental Poisoning be congratulated by the Vancouver City Council on progress made in creating a national awareness of the need for safe containers for the protection of children.
- (b) The Ontario Association for the Control of Accidental Poisoning be encouraged to seek the establishment of national standards for child resistant containers through the Canadian Standards Association and with the support of Vancouver City Council."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the above report of the Medical Health Officer be approved.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 551

Board of Administration, December 17, 1971 (Harbours 1)

HARBOURS AND PARKS MATTERS

CONSIDERATION

1. Park Board : Advance Allocation of Capital Funds

The Board of Administration advise of the following request from the Park Board.

(a) New Brighton Park Proposed Replacement Swimming Pool

The Board originally considered building a small pool to cost approximately \$150,000 to replace the tidal pool that had been closed by the Medical Health Officer because of pollution. The Association requested the Board to reconsider the small size of the replacement pool. The Board has been seeking additional funds and the Director of the Mr. & Mrs. P. A. Woodward's Foundation offered to match funds up to \$250,000 for construction of the pool.

Will you please approve an advance of \$250,000 from our 1972 Five Year Plan Park Development funds so that we may proceed as quickly as possible to have the pool ready for use next summer. The large pool will be almost the same size as the existing pool and will be a great credit to the community.

(b) Lord Byng High School Indoor Swimming Pool

The School Board and Park Board wish to proceed as quickly as possible on the construction of this indoor pool. Will you please approve an advance expenditure of 1972 Five Year Plan funds in the amount of \$480,000 that has been earmarked for this pool."

The Director of Finance reports on the foregoing requests as follows:

A. New Brighton Park - Proposed Replacement Swimming Pool

On July 13, 1971 Council considered a request from the Park Board to advance \$150,000 of Park 1972 Capital Funds to construct this pool and passed the following resolutions:

"THAT the Council do not approve the \$150,000 allocation for New Brighton Park replacement swimming pool and service building and the Board be requested to reconsider the size of the pool, following which re-application be made for an application.

- CARRIED"

"THAT the Park Board be invited to appear before Council to give information in respect of the swimming pool development, included in the \$150,000 allocation request.

- CARRIED"

B. Lord Byng High School Indoor Swimming Pool

The 1971 - 1975 Capital Program included \$960,000 for construction and equipping of two indoor swimming pools adjacent to secondary schools.

Cont'd...

Board of Administration, December 17, 1971 (Harbours 2)

Clause #1 Continued

The Director of Social Planning has reviewed the location of this pool and concurs with the recommendation of the Park Board and adds the following comments:

"This high school and community is the furthest removed from any indoor pool facility in the City of Vancouver. Lord Byng High School also has very inadequate outdoor and indoor physical education facilities. This pool would be used by the school for physical education programming, and through arrangement with the Board of Parks and Public Recreation for community use after 3:30 p.m. each day and on weekends and holidays. In designing the pool, consideration must be given to the physical education use by Lord Byng School as well as easy access for community use."

The Director of Finance reports that advance allocations from Park Board 1971 - 1975 Capital Funds of \$250,000 for the New Brighton Park swimming pool and the \$480,000 for the Lord Byng indoor swimming pool can be covered by adjustment of the 1972 and subsequent years' capital budget allocations.

If Council does not advance the \$250,000 for the New Brighton Park swimming pool, the Hastings Community Association wishes to appear as a delegation to speak to the matter.

Your Board submits the matter to Council for CONSIDERATION.

INFORMATION AND CONSIDERATION

2. Lease of Admiralty Reserve from Dominion Government

The Corporation Counsel reports as follows:

"I have been asked by the City Clerk to report on a resolution passed on November 15th, 1971, by the Board of Parks and Public Recreation, which reads as follows:

'That the Board agree in principle to turn the lease of Admiralty Reserve over to the Vancouver-Fraser Park District for regional park purposes.'

The lease referred to in this resolution was granted by the Crown Dominion represented by Col. the Hon. Samuel Hughes in his capacity of Minister of Militia and Defence for a term of 99 years commencing May 1st, 1912. The location of the land under lease is in the vicinity of Belcarra Park in the North Arm of Burrard Inlet. The lease was granted for the purpose of allowing the City to quarry for rock and to build an isolation hospital.

The lease provides that the City will not assign or sublet the leased land or any part thereof without the leave of the Governor General in Council.

If, therefore, it is proposed to assign this lease to the Vancouver-Fraser Park District for regional park purposes it will be necessary to get the approval of the Governor General in Council."

Your Board submits the foregoing report of the Corporation Counsel for the INFORMATION of Council, and the request of the Parks Board for Council CONSIDERATION.

(Copies of the letter from the Board of Parks and Public Recreation dated November 18, 1971, is circulated for the information of Council).

BUILDING AND PLANNING MATTERS

RECOMMENDATION

1. Temporary Building:
1503 Kingsway

The City Building Inspector reports as follows:

"A request has been received from Canadian Log Structures Ltd. of 1503 Kingsway to erect a temporary display cottage at the above location for a limited period of time expiring December 31st, 1972.

The building is a log cabin type structure supported on wooden sills which do not meet the minimum requirements of the Building By-law for foundations. However Section 2.6 of the Building By-law gives City Council the power to grant a permit to erect temporary structures for any period up to two years. Since the applicant is requesting a limited period of less than two years, I am prepared to recommend that the building be permitted on this site until December 31st, 1972, subject to the following conditions:

- (a) The applicant shall deposit with the City a Bond of Indemnity satisfactory to the Corporation Counsel to guarantee the removal of the building by December 31st, 1972.
- (b) Sanitary facilities shall be provided in accordance with the Medical Health Officer's requirements.
- (c) Due provision shall be made for fire protection to the satisfaction of the Fire Chief.
- (d) The approval of the Technical Planning Board is obtained."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the City Building Inspector be adopted.

CONSIDERATION

2. Grant Request:
Hastings-Sunrise Action Council

Your Board submits the following report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development and the Director of Social Planning/Community Development:

"At a Public Hearing held on June 3, 1971, City Council decided to withdraw the agency application of the Director of Planning and Civic Development to rezone the area bounded by Charles Street on the south, the lane north of Williams Street on the north, Kootenay Street on the west and Boundary Road on the east from RS-1, M-2 and C-1 to CD-1.

The Minutes of the June 3rd Hearing also note that:

'It was understood that the Director of Planning and Civic Development in giving further consideration to the larger area would consult with the people living in the neighbourhood.'

Two public meetings have been held in the north east area with Department of Planning and Civic Development personnel. Also, considerable discussion has occurred between City staff (Planning and Social Planning) and the Hastings-Sunrise Action Council.

cont'd . . .

Clause 2 continued

The Action Council carried on a week-long information programme (November 16 to 20) for area residents to discuss planning alternatives for their area. They requested financial assistance from the Department of Planning and Civic Development and the Department of Social Planning/Community Development in this endeavour (see attached letter for details).

The request of the Hastings-Sunrise Action Council for a grant of \$196.00 is submitted for the consideration of Council."

Your Board submits the foregoing report of the Director of Planning and Civic Development and the Director of Social Planning/Community Development for the CONSIDERATION of Council.

(Copies of the letter from Hastings-Sunrise Action Council dated November 18, 1971, are circulated for Council's Information).

INFORMATION3. Downward Rezoning

The Corporation Counsel reports as follows:

"On December 7, 1971, Council passed a resolution instructing me to 'look into the aspect of downward rezoning, taking into account legal cases in this regard'.

In exercising the power to zone and rezone private lands, Council is exercising one of its legislative functions and it can zone upwards or downwards.

As expressed by a leading text on the subject, the cases indicate that:-

'the courts will not concern themselves with the wisdom or propriety of a by-law and have repeatedly refused to review the action of a municipal council acting within its powers and in good faith. It has been said that "with regard.....to all questions which arise regarding matters which have or are supposed to have some relations to morals or social questions, nothing could be more dangerous than any attempt to enter upon the motives and reasons which have actuated the legislative body".'

The lack of good faith (or bad faith) has been the subject of court interpretation and can best be described in the following words from the same leading text:-

'Bad faith is a generic term under which are grouped all such forms of illegality arising out of corrupt or personal interest or motive as distinguished from illegality in substance or form. The general rule is that municipal councils are not to exercise their powers for what are called "sinister or collateral purposes". For instance, a by-law ostensibly passed to expropriate lands for a park but the real purpose of which was to defeat an action by the owner for a trespass on the land was declared invalid on this ground. Municipal action must be exercised bona fide and must not be founded upon fraud, oppression or improper motives.'

Your Board submits the foregoing report of the Corporation Counsel for the INFORMATION of Council.

RECOMMENDATION

4. Rezoning for West End Community Centre/
Senior Citizens' Project: Area Bounded
by Denman, Haro, Cardero & Barclay Sts.

The Director of Planning and Civic Development reports as follows:

"On November 2nd, 1971, Council approved recommendations in a Board of Administration report dated October 14th, endorsing the preliminary design and cost estimate and instructing the Director of Planning to make application for rezoning the project area CD-1.

EXISTING ZONING

The site, comprising some 5.86 acres variously zoned RS-1, C-3 and RM-4 at present, includes the area surrounded by Denman, Haro, Cardero and Barclay Streets, excluding only Lots 1, 2 and 3 on the northeast corner and Lot 28 on the southeast corner.

Plans of the area for rezoning, together with a layout plan of the proposed project are attached.

REZONING

Council will recall that the original proposal involved an air rights development with senior citizens' housing located over the Community Centre. With the closure of Bidwell Street and the adoption by Council of a revised scheme, each element of the project: i.e. Community Centre and Senior Citizens' Project, is located within its own site. However, the density of the Senior Citizens' portion of the project is only acceptable when account is taken of the much lower density of the remainder of the project. On such a basis the project density is a floor space ratio of 1.71.

It is therefore recommended that the Senior Citizens' Community Centre and school area be rezoned to CD-1 and in order to allow for future expansion of the school and/or swimming pool, it is further recommended that the floor space ratio under such CD-1 zoning should not exceed 2.0.

The Technical Planning Board, on December 10, 1971, recommended that the application of the Director of Planning for the rezoning of Lot C, B. 57, Lots 22-27, 4, A of 4 & 5, W22' of 5, E22' of 6, W44' of 6, whole of 7, plus Bidwell Street and portion of lane, B. 57, D.L. 185 from RS-1, C-3 and RM-4 to CD-1 Comprehensive Development District be approved subject to the following conditions:

THAT the

- (a) uses be senior citizens' apartments, community centre, library and school only, with customary accessory uses and off-street parking.
- (b) floor space ratio not exceed 2.0.
- (c) design be generally in accordance with the design concept approved by Council on November 2, 1971.

It is RECOMMENDED that Council instruct the Director of Planning and Civic Development to proceed directly to Public Hearing with this application."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the report of the Technical Planning Board be received and the matter be referred for the consideration of Council at a Public Hearing.

LICENSES AND CLAIMS MATTERS

RECOMMENDATION

- 1. Claim No. 11681, Accident - December 22, 1970
Kenneth Earl Lapp and Ethel P. Lapp

The Corporation Counsel reports as follows:

"Mr. Kenneth Earl Lapp was involved in an automobile accident on December 22, 1970 which resulted in damage to a City owned motor-vehicle under the control of the ~~Fire~~ Police Department. The vehicle Mr. Lapp was driving was owned by his mother Mrs. Ethel Lapp. The Legal Department has had fairly extensive negotiations with the insurers of Mrs. Lapp but no settlement has been reached. The amount of the damage to the City owned vehicle is \$1,104.33.

Since no settlement of the accident has been negotiated, the only alternative that the City has is to commence legal action against Mrs. Lapp and her son before December 22, 1971 in order to protect its claim.

Accordingly I recommend that authorization be given for the commencement of an action against Mr. Kenneth Earl Lapp and Mrs. Ethel P. Lapp to recover the damages incurred by the City."

Your Board RECOMMENDS that the recommendation of the Corporation Counsel be approved.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 553

Board of Administration, December 17, 1971 (FINANCE - 1)

FINANCE MATTERS

RECOMMENDATION

1. Cash Shortages & Overages

The Director of Finance has submitted a report setting out the following cash shortages and overages as reported by the Departments for the year 1971:

	<u>Overages</u>	<u>Shortages</u>
City Treasurer & Collector	\$223.07	\$297.74
Provincial Courts		
312 Main St.	20.00	116.00
Family Division		*255.00
Library Board		5.00
	<u>\$243.07</u>	<u>\$673.74</u>

*This item of \$255.00 is the unrecovered balance of a larger sum paid in error to the wrong person.

The Director of Finance advises that the overages of \$243.07 listed above have been deposited with the City, and, as requested by him,

Your Board RECOMMENDS that authority be granted to adjust the shortages, \$673.74 in the cashiers' accounts.

2. Temporary Help in Revenue and Treasury Division of the Finance Department

The Director of Finance has submitted the following report to your Board.

"The collection of overdue accounts of all types is the responsibility of the Sundry Collection Section of the Revenue and Treasury Division. For many years the section had a staff of 6, which was reduced to 4 in 1967 during a re-organization. General growth in the City's business plus a decided slowness in payment of Business Tax due to poorer economic conditions in the last two years has resulted in a significant increase in accounts transferred to the Collection Section for action. Fast action is essential when dealing with overdue accounts and we therefore do not wish to allow too large a backlog to accumulate.

The detailed report circulated shows that accounts transferred for collection have risen for 2,135 in 1968 to 3,672 (est.) in 1971 and that the balance outstanding at the end of 1968 was \$133,689 with a balance outstanding at the end of 1971 estimated to be \$276,650.

The Co-ordinator of Data Processing and Systems is currently studying the License and Business Tax Office operations and expects to produce recommendations that will change the Business Tax collection procedures. His report is due in the Spring of 1972.

In the interim, to prevent the situation from worsening, we need to increase the office clerical staff by the addition of one temporary Clerk Typist II (subject to classification by the Director of Personnel Services). This position would be used to get new accounts set up faster and get out first demand letters on an immediate basis. Until the end of 1971, we are using stenographic pool assistance from the Personnel Department on an as available basis.

(continued.)

Board of Administration, December 17, 1971 (FINANCE - 2)

Clause No. 2 (continued)

I would therefore RECOMMEND

That approval be given for addition of a temporary Clerk Typist II (subject to classification by the Director of Personnel Services) to the Collections Section of the Revenue and Treasury Division of the Finance Department for the six months January 1, 1972 to June 30, 1972 in advance of approval of the 1972 Budget, with review and report to Council on any further action needed, in late May of 1972. The cost at 1971 rates is \$2,661."

Your Board RECOMMENDS approval of the recommendation of the Director of Finance.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 553

DECEMBER 17, 1971RECOMMENDATION

1. Elementary School Site - Champlain Heights
between Tyne and Boundary Road, South of
49th Avenue

The Supervisor of Property & Insurance reports as follows:

"On May 31st, 1968, Council approved the overall concept plan for Champlain Heights. Included within that report to Council was a recommendation with respect to an existing, and three proposed school sites. All details concerning the existing school site have been settled, and the property conveyed to the School Board.

The School Board has now approached the City to complete arrangements for the 5.4 acre elementary school site to be established between Tyne Street and Boundary Road, South of 49th Avenue, to allow completion of the new school by September 1972. It will be some time before the plan of subdivision for this portion of Champlain Heights is filed and registered in the Land Registry Office. However, the boundaries of the site have been determined and are shown on plan of survey marginally numbered LE 3384, and a sale price of \$335,556.00 has been negotiated between the City and the School Board.

It is therefore

RECOMMENDED that that parcel of land shown on plan of survey marginally numbered LE 3384 be sold to the Board of School Trustees of School District No. 39 (Vancouver) for the sum of \$335,556.00 and that conveyance be completed upon registration of a plan of subdivision for this section of Champlain Heights."

Your Board

RECOMMENDS the foregoing recommendation of the Supervisor of Property & Insurance be adopted.

2. Sales: Residential

Recommended that the following offer to purchase received by the Supervisor of Property and Insurance be approved under the terms and conditions set down by City Council, being in this case the highest offer received.

Re: Lot I, Block 3, D.L. 3111, Zoned: RS-1
S/S 48th Ave. bet. Dunbar & Collingwood Sts.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Lot</u>	<u>Approx. Size</u>	<u>Sales Price</u>	<u>Terms</u>
Phil-Can Realty Ltd. for J. De Sequera	I	155' x 66'	\$22,000.00	City Terms @ 9%

(Continued)

Board of Administration, December 17, 1971 . . . (PROPERTY MATTERS - 2)

Clause No. 2 (Continued)

Conditions of Sale:

1. This site contains peat and has been filled. The purchasers must first satisfy themselves as to soil conditions.
2. Development must be in accordance with the report approved by Council, October 5th 1965, entitled "Sewerage, Drainage and Development in the Lower Musqueam Area."
3. 7' x 7' Public Utility easement situated in the N.W. corner.

* * *

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 553

STANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL
ON PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

December 16, 1971

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Planning and Development was held on Thursday, December 16, 1971, at 9:30 a.m. in the #1 Committee Room and in the Council in the afternoon.

PRESENT: Alderman Bird (Chairman)
His Worship the Mayor
Aldermen Adams, Broome, Hardwick, Linnell,
Phillips, Rankin, Sweeney, and
Wilson.

ABSENT: Alderman Calder

CLERK: M. James

The Minutes of the meeting of August 12, 1971, were adopted.

PART I

The following recommendations are submitted to Council for consideration.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. False Creek

On September 21, 1971, Council instructed that your Committee hear a delegation from Mr. W.S. Harvey representing False Creek Marinas Ltd. Mr. Harvey appeared before your Committee this day and submitted a brief supporting the request of False Creek Marinas Ltd. for a 5, 10, or 15 year lease of certain property on the south shore of False Creek immediately west of the Granville Street Bridge. The property is commonly known as Girody Saw Mills.

Mr. Harvey gave a comprehensive explanation of the proposed development and the reasons why he felt the request of his Company should be acceded to by Council.

Your Committee, after hearing Mr. Harvey, determined to take no action on his request until the Director of Planning and the False Creek Study Group had presented their proposals in line with the instructions of Council of December 7, 1971.

It was pointed out to your Committee that the shape of the land requested by Mr. Harvey and the water lots attached to it and the adjacent privately held developed and undeveloped lands suggested that no opportunities for replotting in this area be taken before any lease is entered into.

At this point in the meeting the Assistant Director of Planning - Civic Development introduced the Assistant Director of Planning - Advanced Planning and Research, who spoke to your Committee on the "proposed policies for the redevelopment of False Creek" issued by the Planning Department in September, 1971.

The Assistant Director - Advanced Planning and Research touched on several points and advised on the procedure used on the development of these policies and on the manner in which the policies might be used to assess future developmental proposals in the False Creek Basin.

cont'd . . .

False Creek (continued)

The representatives of Thompson, Berwick, Pratt and Partners, the Consultants engaged in the matter of development of City-owned lands on the south shore of False Creek, also spoke to your Committee and the several reports of the Consultants advised that the proposals which they had put forward in their Reports numbers 1, 2, 3 and more particularly the recommendations in their Report #3, were the result of the application of a specific type of methodology used by them. The various representatives of the Consultants with the assistance of slides, models and maps explained this methodology and the "patterns" which resulted.

The Consultants' proposals, they felt, achieved the objective of taking advantage of the False Creek Basin's potential for development, bearing in mind the Basin's relationship to the surrounding sections of the City and to the City generally.

At this meeting your Committee had before it the "Proposed Policy for the Redevelopment of False Creek" submitted by the Planning Department and "False Creek Proposals Report #3" of Thompson, Berwick, Pratt and Partners. The Committee also had before it the report of the Board of Administration dated December 2, 1971, which had been submitted to Council at its meeting on December 7, 1971, and referred by Council on that date to this Committee for the consideration of the six (6) functional recommendations contained in the report.

The six (6) functional recommendations are as follows:

- (1) THAT Council accept the principle that the present area of water should not be materially diminished and request the Director of Planning and Civic Development to report further on a method by which the City could gain control of the filling of further water areas including, if necessary, a revision of the Harbour Headline.
- (2) THAT the design of Area 6 (Fairview Flats) proceed immediately on the basis that the water area is not materially diminished and generally taking account of the 'patterns' contained in the Consultants' Progress Report 3; and with the densities recommended by the Consultants for the first stage of development.
- (3) THAT the Director of Planning and Civic Development bring in final recommendations to Council on the matters of the amount of open space and population density having heard the views of Council after receiving this report; this to be treated as a matter of urgency and bearing in mind the difference between the Consultants' Report 3 and the Policy Plan.
- (4) THAT Council establish a special committee to discuss with the railway companies the matter of noise abatement, operating schedules and other factors considered detrimental to the quiet enjoyment of the adjacent residential areas.
- (5) THAT Council receive the recommendation of the Consultants relative to the formation of a False Creek Development Corporation and direct the Consultants to report back in more detail. (Implementation of development proposals is the responsibility of the Assistant Director, Civic Development).
- (6) THAT Council do not approve the Consultants' recommendation #24 for the interim rezoning of the Fairview Slopes and request the Director of Planning and Civic Development to report in detail on the partial rezoning to CRM-1 outlined in the Planning Department comments on recommendation #24 on Page 7 of Appendix I and as one of the alternative courses of action recommended previously.

cont'd . . .

False Creek (continued)

An invited member of the Town Planning Commission present suggested that the preparation of an informational pamphlet be prepared and distributed to land owners and land occupiers in the False Creek Basin and other interested parties inviting comment on the proposals for development heard today.

After discussion your Committee

RECOMMENDS

- (a) that the Director of Planning and Civic Development report back to Council as soon as possible on the feasibility of adding Area 10 to the Consultants' terms of reference.
- (b) that the request of False Creek Marinas Limited for the lease of the property commonly known as Girody Saw Mills be tabled pending the possible report by the Consultants on developmental proposals for Area 10.
- (c) that the Board of Administration, the Director of Planning and Civic Development and the Consultants proceed with the development of an informational sheet and that such informational sheet be forwarded to land owners and land occupiers in the False Creek Basin requesting their comment.
- (d) that the Planning Department be advised that Council approve in principle of the concept of the City of Vancouver setting standards for the development of City-owned lands for developers to respond to and instruct the Director of Planning to report back on the implications of the implementation of this proposed policy.
- (e) that Council accept the principle that the present area of water should not be materially diminished and request the Director of Planning and Civic Development to report further on a method by which the City could gain control of the filling of further water areas including, if necessary, a revision of the Harbour Headline.
- (f) that the design of Area 6 (Fairview Flats) proceed immediately on the basis that the water area is not materially diminished and generally taking account of the 'patterns' contained in the Consultants' Progress Report 3; and with the densities not greater than recommended by the Consultants for the first stage of development.
- (g) that the Director of Planning and Civic Development bring in final recommendations to Council on the matters of the amount of open space and population density having heard the views of Council after receiving this report; this to be treated as a matter of urgency and bearing in mind the difference between the Consultants' Report 3 and the Policy Plan including the wide "see through".
- (h) that Council establish a special committee to discuss with the railway companies the removal of the line in that area.
- (i) that Council receive the recommendation of the Consultants relative to the formation of a False Creek Development Corporation and direct the Consultants to report back in more detail. (Implementation of development proposals is the responsibility of the Assistant Director, Civic Development).
- (j) that Council do not approve the Consultants' recommendation #24 for the interim rezoning of the Fairview Slopes and request the Director of Planning and Civic Development to report in detail on the partial zoning to CRM-1 outlined in the Planning Department comments on recommendation #24 on Page 7 of Appendix I and as one of the alternatives course of action recommended previously, such report to take into account the wide "see through" principle.

IF AMENDED
SEE PAGE 522

False Creek (continued)

The Consultants' recommendation #24 and the Planning Department's comments thereon are attached to and form part of this recommendation.

- - - - -

Your Committee recessed at 12:00 noon in #1 Committee Room to reconvene at 2:00 p.m. in the Council Chamber with the same members present.

- - - - -

2. Town Planning Commission:
Reorganization

On November 16, 1971, the following motion was submitted to Council:

"THAT the Council approve of a Nominating Committee along the lines set out in the joint report by the Chairman of the Town Planning Commission and the Director of Planning, dated February 4, 1969;

FURTHER THAT the Council set two principles in making selections for service on the Town Planning Commission:

(a) that such persons represent a broad cross section of the community, taking into consideration such factors as --

- (i) area of residence
- (ii) involvement in organizations
- (iii) occupation
- (iv) experience

(b) that appointments be primarily non political.

(referred)"

By the action of Council on that day, that motion was referred to your Committee and at its meeting held today, your Standing Committee received a delegation from the Chairman and Members of the Town Planning Commission. The delegation filed a submission dated December 6, 1971.

After general discussion between the members of your Committee and the representatives of the Town Planning Commission and the officials present, your Committee

RECOMMENDS

- A. that Council approve of a Nominating Committee for members of the Town Planning Commission composed of His Worship the Mayor, the Chairman of the Town Planning Commission, an Alderman appointed by His Worship, a Town Planning Commission member appointed by the Town Planning Commission and a person not directly connected with either Council or the Commission to be chosen by the first four named above.

That the Nominating Committee so constructed be governed by the following general terms of reference:

1. that all nominees represent a broad cross section of the community, taking into consideration such factors as

cont'd . . .

Town Planning Commission:
Reorganization (continued)

- (i) area of residence
- (ii) involvement in organizations
- (iii) occupation
- (iv) experience
- (v) areas of interest presently represented and continuing on the Commission

2. That appointments be primarily non political.
3. That all nominees have given evidence to the effect that if appointed they will serve.
4. That the Nominating Committee's report contain two nominees for each vacancy.
5. That the Nominating Committee's report contain a list of all nominees received with biographical data on each nominee.

That no change be made in representation on the Town Planning Commission from Vancouver City Council, the Board of School Trustees of School District #39 (Vancouver) and the Board of Parks and Public Recreation.

- B. that no action be taken on the matter of the Town Planning Commission representation on the Technical Planning Board.
- C. that the Director of Planning and Civic Development and the Chairman of the Town Planning Commission meet, discuss and report back on the possibility of the Town Planning Commission participating in the initiating stages of planning as early as is possible and practicable.
- D. that the Commission be encouraged to meet with the general public on matters of planning using its own good judgment in organizing and holding meetings and bearing in mind the responsibilities of the Vancouver City Council in the matter of Public Hearings.
- E. that the Chairman of the Town Planning Commission, as soon as possible, submit to the Board of Administration for review and report, a proposed budget for office space and staff.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 2:50 p.m.

* * * * *

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 512
as amended

STANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL

ON TRANSPORTATION

DECEMBER 16, 1971

A meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Transportation was held on Thursday, December 16, 1971, at approximately 2:50 p.m. in the Council Chamber.

PRESENT: Alderman Wilson, Chairman
Aldermen Adams, Broome, Linnell, Rankin
Hardwick, Sweeney, Phillips and Bird.

ABSENT: His Worship the Mayor (Civic Business)
Alderman Calder

CLERK: M. James

The Minutes of the meeting of November 4, 1971, were adopted.

PART I

The following recommendations of the Committee are submitted to Council for consideration:

1. Colonial Suspended Transit Lines Ltd.

Previously your Committee had received delegations and submissions from Colonial Suspended Transit Lines Ltd re the development of a monorail system from downtown Vancouver to the Vancouver International Airport on Sea Island.

Under date of October 25, 1971, the President of Colonial Suspended Transit Lines Ltd. requested that the City of Vancouver, through this Committee, supply a letter of intent to provide a right of way for the monorail system at the appropriate time. This letter of intent, the President suggested, would allow the Company to develop a preliminary feasibility study at the Company's expense.

The Committee discussed the matter and

RECOMMENDS that the request of the Colonial Suspended Transit Lines Ltd. be referred to the Greater Vancouver Regional District for action that it deems necessary.

2. Feasibility Study - Commuter Usage
of Existing Railroad Trackage

The Chairman referred to the availability of Federal funding in the matter of the exploration of existing rail trackage in the City of Vancouver for commuter trains. The Chairman suggested this was a possibility which should be explored and suggested the matter could best be explored through the Greater Vancouver Regional District.

The Committee agreed and

RECOMMENDS THAT WHEREAS Federal Government funds are available under the urban transportation program of the Ministry of Transport,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the matter of the study of the utility of existing rail trackage in the City of Vancouver and in the Greater Vancouver Regional District for commuter trains, be referred to the Greater Vancouver Regional District Transportation Committee for study.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:15 p.m.

FOR ADOPTION SEE PAGE(S) 572